arXiv:1701.00509v2 [math.AC] 26 Jan 2017

FROM EULER CLASS GROUPS TO MENNICKE SYMBOLS AND A MONIC INVERSION PRINCIPLE

MRINAL KANTI DAS, SOUMI TIKADER, AND MD. ALI ZINNA

Dedicated to Professor S. M. Bhatwadekar on his seventieth birthday.

1. Introduction

Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring of (Krull) dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $E^d(R)$ be the d-th Euler class group defined by Bhatwadekar-Sridharan in [BRS 3]. This definition is an extension of their definition of the Euler class group from [BRS 1]. The original definition of the Euler class group for smooth affine domains was suggested by M. V. Nori (see [BRS 1] or Section 3 below), which is very much relevant and crucial to this paper.

Comparing the Euler class group with the orbit space $Um_{d+1}/E_{d+1}(R)$ of unimodular rows of length $d+1$ under the natural action of elementary $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrices, has been the theme of a few papers ([BRS 3, BRS 4, vdK 3, DZ, vdK 4]). This orbit space has the structure of an abelian group, which was developed by van der Kallen in [vdK 1, vdK 2]. Thanks to a series of articles ([BRS 3, DZ, vdK 4]), we now have the following exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \xrightarrow{\phi_d} E^d(R) \longrightarrow E_0^d(R) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $E_0^d(R)$ is the d-th *weak Euler class group* of R (see [BRS 2, BRS 3] for a definition; we do not discuss this group in this article). The groups $E^d(R)$ and $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ have their intrinsic appeal and in recent research, efforts are being made to fit them in a cohomology theory (mostly when R is smooth affine domain over a field). Jean Fasel did this for $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ in [F 1]. In [AF] Asok-Fasel study $E^d(R)$ from a topological perspective.

Now let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic unequal to 2. In this article we establish

Date: January 30, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C10, 19A13, 19A15, 14C25.

We sincerely thank Ravi Rao for clarifying numerous queries. Thanks are due to Jean Fasel for some illuminating remarks on an earlier version and also for Theorem 5.12. We are deeply indebted to S. M. Bhatwadekar for his critical reading of the earlier version and for pointing out some serious mistakes.

a set-theoretic map $\delta_d : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ and investigate it intricately. This is in the reverse direction of the path adopted so far and therefore we could not resist inverting the title of a paper by van der Kallen, namely, [vdK 3]. We do not invoke Mennicke symbols here; that is inherent and implicit in the van der Kallen group $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$.

We do not know yet whether δ_d is a group homomorphism in general. However, both the composite maps $\phi_d\delta_d : E^d(R) \to E^d(R)$ and $\delta_d\phi_d : Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \to Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ turn out to be group homomorphisms (see Section 6). For δ_d we touch two extreme cases, as follows. If the base field is algebraically closed (or more generally, the cohomological dimension $cd(k) \leq 1$), we prove that δ_d is the trivial morphism (Theorems 5.10 and 5.12). We then indicate examples to show that δ_d can have non-trivial kernel and non-trivial cokernel.

On the other hand, let $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a smooth affine variety of dimension d over $\mathbb{R}$ and let $\mathbb{R}(X)$ be the ring obtained from R by inverting all the functions which do not have any real zeroes. If the real points of $X(\mathbb{R})$ constitute a smooth orientable manifold, we prove that $\delta_d : E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is an isomorphism (Theorem 7.8). This isomorphism enables us to obtain a structure theorem for the latter group (Theorem 7.10), from the one available for $E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$ from [BRS 2]. If the complex maximal ideals of R are all complete intersections, we expected to prove that $\delta_d : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is an isomorphism, but we could only prove that δ_d is injective. In Theorem 7.18 we collect various equivalent conditions for this map to be an isomorphism. Among these conditions the one which stands out is the following: $\delta_d : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ *is an isomorphism if and only if any unimodular row of the form* $(a_1, \cdots, a_d, b^2) \in Um_{d+1}(R)$ *is the first row of an elementary matrix.*

However, if d is even, then we prove (under the same hypothesis on complex maximal ideals), that the composite $E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$ is an isomorphism. As a consequence, we establish a structure theorem for isomorphism classes of stably free R-modules of rank d. This structure theorem was first proved in [F 1], which uses techniques such as Milnor K-theory and $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy. We believe our methods are direct and basic and could be of independent interest.

The map $\delta_d : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is not defined in a direct manner (but it has an explicit description). It makes its journey through the homotopy orbits of some pointed sets, which we describe below. In this article, by *'homotopy'* we shall mean *'naive homotopy'* and we quickly recall the definition. Let F be a functor originating from the category of rings to the category of sets. For a given ring R, two elements $F(u_0), F(u_1) \in F(R)$ are said to be homotopic if there is an element $F(u(T)) \in F(R[T])$

such that $F(u(0)) = F(u_0)$ and $F(u(1)) = F(u_1)$. The orbits of the equivalence relation on $F(R)$ generated by homotopies will be denoted by $\pi_0(F(R))$

For any $n \geq 2$ and any commutative Noetherian ring R, we consider the homotopy orbits of the following pointed sets (which are isomorphic as sets if $\frac{1}{2} \in R$):

(1) $Q_{2n}(R) = \{(x_1, \cdots x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n, z) \in R^{2n+1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i = z - z^2\}$ with a base point $(0, \cdots, 0, 0, \cdots, 0, 0)$.
(2) $Q'_{2n}(R) = \{(x_1, \cdots x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n, z) \in R^{2n+1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i + z^2 = 1\}$ with a base point $(0, \cdots, 0, 0, \cdots, 0, 1)$.

Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic unequal to 2. The map δ_d is the composition of the following maps (defined in subsequent sections):

$$E^d(R) \xrightarrow{\theta_d} \pi_0(Q_{2d}(R)) \xrightarrow{\beta_d} \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R)) \xrightarrow{\zeta_d} \pi_0(Um_{d+1}(R)) \xrightarrow{\eta_d} Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R),$$

of which θ_d, β_d and η_d are bijections. We remark here that $\pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$ has both motivic and $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopic interpretations (see [AF]), and therefore the bijection θ_d places the Euler class group in a setup which is much more functorial in nature. This bijection has also been proved in [AF, MaMi]. However, our arguments are quite different. We conclude this half of the introduction by pointing out that θ_d fails to be injective if we remove the smoothness assumption. To show this, we quote an example of Bhatwadekar from [DK] which is based on an example of Bhatwadekar, Mohan Kumar and Srinivas [BRS 1, Example 6.4]. See Example 4.9 below for the details.

Apart from comparing the groups $E^d(R)$ and $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$, the above journey provides us a requisite tool to settle a question on the Euler class groups, which has been open for quite some time. We digress a bit.

Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ such that $\mathbb{Q} \subset R$. The d-th Euler class group $E^d(R[T])$ of $R[T]$ has been defined in [D] (the definition is not a trivial extension of $E^d(R)$). Let $R(T)$ denote the ring obtained from $R[T]$ by inverting all the monic polynomials. As the extension $R[T] \longrightarrow R(T)$ is flat, there is a canonical group homomorphism $\Gamma : E^d(R[T]) \longrightarrow E^d(R(T))$. The following question from [D], on the so called *monic inversion principle*, is an analogue of the Affine Horrocks theorem.

Question 1.1. Is the canonical map $\Gamma : E^d(R[T]) \longrightarrow E^d(R(T))$ injective?

In simple terms, the question can be reformulated in the following form.

Question 1.2. Let $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal of height d such that $I = (f_1, \cdots, f_d) + I^2$. Assume that there is a monic polynomial $f \in R[T]$ such that $I_f = (G_1, \cdots, G_d)$ with $G_i - f_i \in I_f^2$ for $i = 1, \cdots, d$. Then, do there exist $g_1, \cdots, g_d \in I$ such that $I = (g_1, \cdots, g_d)$ and $g_i - f_i \in I^2$ for $i = 1, \cdots, d$?

The above questions have been answered in the affirmative in [D] in the following cases: (1) $\dim(R) = 2$; (2) R is local; (3) R is an affine algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. The question has been open for general commutative Noetherian rings. We now assume that R is a regular domain of dimension d which is essentially of finite type over a field k of characteristic zero. Under this assumption, $E^d(R)$ is isomorphic to $E^d(R[T])$ (proved in [D]), and it is then easy to deduce that $E^d(R[T]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R[T]))$. Since we also have $E^d(R(T)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R(T)))$, we are reduced to proving the monic inversion principle for the presheaf (of sets) $\pi_0(Q'_{2d}(-))$ at the base point level. At this point we invoke quadratic forms and orthogonal groups. Let us briefly recall some generalities.

Let $n \geq 2$ and A be a commutative Noetherian ring such that $\frac{1}{2} \in A$. Let $O_{2n+1}(A)$ be the orthogonal group preserving the quadratic form $X_1Y_1 + \cdots + X_nY_n + Z^2$. Let $EO_{2n+1}(A)$ be the elementary subgroup of $O_{2n+1}(A)$. Note that these groups act on the set $Q'_{2n}(A)$.

If A is a regular domain containing a field k of characteristic $\neq 2$, then it can be easily deduced using [MaMi, Theorem 4.2] and [St, Theorem 1.3] that $\pi_0(Q'_{2n}(A)) \simeq Q'_{2n}(A)/EO_{2n+1}(A)$ (see Corollary 2.10). To settle Questions 1.1 and 1.2, it is therefore enough to prove the following "quadratic" analogue of a monic inversion principle of Ravi Rao [Ra, Theorem 1.1]. Note that this result (Theorem 8.5 below) is in a much more general setup than we need.

Theorem 1.3. *Let A be a regular domain containing a field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Let $n \geq 2$ and $v = (f_1, \cdots, f_n, g_1, \cdots, g_n, h) \in Q'_{2n}(A[T])$. Suppose that there is a monic polynomial $f \in A[T]$ such that $v \equiv (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$ mod $EO_{2n+1}(A[T]_f)$. Then $v \equiv (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$ mod $EO_{2n+1}(A[T])$.*

The paper is organized as follows. The computations involving real varieties can be found in Section 7. Section 8 is all about the monic inversion principle. In Section 5 and 6 we study the map $\delta_d : E^d(R) \to Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ in great detail. The other sections are preparatory in nature. Most of the results in this article (barring those on real varieties) can be routinely generalized to accommodate the n-th Euler class group $E^n(R)$ and the van der Kallen group $Um_{n+1}(R)/E_{n+1}(R)$, where $3 \leq \dim(R) \leq 2n-3$. However, we preferred to stick to the "top" case, with relevant remarks at appropriate places for this relaxed range.

2. Homotopy

In this article, by *'homotopy'* we shall mean *'naive homotopy'*, as defined below.

Definition 2.1. Let F be a functor originating from the category of rings to the category of sets. For a given ring R, two elements $F(u_0), F(u_1) \in F(R)$ are said to be homotopic if there is an element $F(u(T)) \in F(R[T])$ such that $F(u(0)) = F(u_0)$ and $F(u(1)) = F(u_1)$.

Definition 2.2. Let F be a functor from the category of rings to the category of sets. Let R be a ring. Consider the equivalence relation on $F(R)$ *generated by* homotopies (the relation is easily seen to be reflexive and symmetric but is not transitive in general). The set of equivalence classes will be denoted by $\pi_0(F(R))$.

Example 2.3. Let R be a ring. Two matrices $\sigma, \tau \in GL_n(R)$ are *homotopic* if there is a matrix $\theta(T) \in GL_n(R[T])$ such that $\theta(0) = \sigma$ and $\theta(1) = \tau$. Of particular interest are the matrices in $GL_n(R)$ which are *homotopic to identity*.

Definition 2.4. Recall that $E_n(R)$ is the subgroup of $GL_n(R)$ generated by all elementary matrices $E_{ij}(\lambda_{ij})$ (whose diagonal entries are all 1, $i \neq j$, and ij-th entry is $\lambda_{ij} \in R$).

Remark 2.5. Any $\theta \in E_n(R)$ is homotopic to identity. To see this, let $\theta = \prod E_{ij}(\lambda_{ij})$. Define $\Theta(T) := \prod E_{ij}(T\lambda_{ij})$. Then, clearly $\Theta(T) \in E_n(R[T])$ and we observe that $\Theta(1) = \theta$, $\Theta(0) = I_n$.

In this context, we record below a remarkable result of Vorst.

Theorem 2.6. [V, Theorem 3.3] *Let R be a regular ring which is essentially of finite type over a field k. Let $n \geq 3$ and $\theta(T) \in GL_n(R[T])$ be such that $\theta(0) = I_n$ (θ is thus a homotopy between I_n and $\theta(1) \in GL_n(R)$). Then $\theta(T) \in E_n(R[T])$.*

Remark 2.7. Using a result of Popescu [Po, Sw], the above result of Vorst can be extended to the case when R is a regular ring containing a field.

In the rest of this section we give a few examples of homotopy orbits of objects which will be useful later.

2.1. **Homotopy orbits of unimodular rows.** For a ring R, consider the set

$$Um_{n+1}(R) := \{(a_1, \cdots, a_{n+1}) \in R^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i b_i = 1 \text{ for some } b_1, \cdots, b_{n+1} \in R\}$$

of *unimodular rows* of length $n+1$ in R. Then $F_{n+1}(R) := Um_{n+1}(R)$ is a functor. Two unimodular rows $(a_1, \cdots, a_{n+1})$ and $(a'_1, \cdots, a'_{n+1})$ are homotopic if there is $(f_1(T), \cdots, f_{n+1}(T)) \in Um_{n+1}(R[T])$ such that $f_i(0) = a_i$ and $f_i(1) = a'_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n+1$. The set $Um_{n+1}(R)$ has a base point, namely, $(0, \cdots, 0, 1)$.

We shall need the following theorem later. See also [F 1, Theorem 2.1] for a more general version.

Theorem 2.8. *Let R be a regular ring containing a field k. Then, for any $n \geq 2$ there is a bijection $\eta_n : \pi_0(Um_{n+1}(R)) \xrightarrow{\sim} Um_{n+1}(R)/E_{n+1}(R)$.*

Proof. The map η_n is obvious. Let $v \in Um_{n+1}(R)$. We send the homotopy orbit of v to the elementary orbit of v. But we have to ensure that η_n is well-defined. Let $u \in Um_{n+1}(R)$ be such that v is homotopic to u. Then, by definition, there exists $f(T) \in Um_{n+1}(R[T])$ such that $f(0) = v$ and $f(1) = u$. As R is a regular ring containing a field k, it follows from [Li, Po] that $f(T)$ is extended from R. In other words, there exists $\sigma(T) \in GL_{n+1}(R[T])$ such that $f(T)\sigma(T) = f(0)$. Therefore, $f(0)\sigma(0) = f(0)$. It then follows that

$$f(T)\sigma(T)\sigma(0)^{-1} = f(0)$$

Writing $\tau = \sigma(T)\sigma(0)^{-1}$ we see that $\tau \in GL_{n+1}(R[T])$ and $\tau(0) = I_{n+1}$. By Theorem 2.6 (and the remark following it), we actually have $\tau \in E_{n+1}(R[T])$. As

$$u\tau(1) = f(1)\tau(1) = f(0) = v,$$

we are done proving that η_n is well-defined.

Injectivity of η_n is clear from Remark 2.5. Surjectivity is trivial. □

Corollary 2.9. *Let R be a regular ring containing a field k. Then, for $n \geq 2$, the relation induced by homotopy on $Um_{n+1}(R)$ is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. Let $u, v \in Um_{n+1}(R)$ be in the same homotopy orbit. Then there is a finite sequence $u = w_0, w_1, \cdots, w_{r-1}, w_r = v$ in $Um_{n+1}(R)$ such that w_i is homotopic to w_{i+1} for $i = 0, \cdots, r-1$. It follows from the above theorem that there is $\sigma_i \in E_{n+1}(R)$ such that $w_i\sigma_i = w_{i+1}$, for $i = 0, \cdots, r-1$. In view of Remark 2.5, the product $\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \sigma_i \in E_{n+1}(R)$ then yields the desired homotopy between u and v. □

2.2. **The pointed set $Q'_{2n}(R)$ and its homotopy orbits:** Let R be any commutative Noetherian ring where 2 is invertible. Let $n \geq 2$ and consider the set

$$Q'_{2n}(R) = \{(x_1, \cdots x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n, z) \in R^{2n+1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i + z^2 = 1\}$$

with a base point $(0, \cdots, 0, 0, \cdots, 0, 1)$. Let $O_{2n+1}(R)$ be the group of orthogonal matrices preserving the quadratic form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_iY_i + Z^2$. Then there is a natural action of $O_{2n+1}(R)$ on the set $Q'_{2n}(R)$. Let $EO_{2n+1}(R)$ be the elementary subgroup of $O_{2n+1}(R)$. As $n \geq 2$, the subgroup $EO_{2n+1}(R)$ is normal in $O_{2n+1}(R)$ (see [VP, Lemma 4]). The group $EO_{2n+1}(R)$ also acts on the set $Q'_{2n}(R)$. The following result is an analogue of Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 2.10. *Let R be a regular ring containing a field k with Char$(k) \neq 2$. Then, for any $n \geq 2$ there is a bijection*

$$\pi_0(Q'_{2n}(R)) \xrightarrow{\sim} Q'_{2n}(R)/EO_{2n+1}(R).$$

Proof. The method of proof is exactly the same as that of Theorem 2.8 above and we only indicate the modifications. As R is regular and contains a field, by [MaMi, Theorem 4.2], for any $f(T) \in Q'_{2n}(R[T])$, there exists $\tau(T) \in O_{2n+1}(R[T])$ such that $\tau(0) = I_{2n+1}$ and $f(T)\tau(T) = f(0)$. Applying [St, Theorem 1.3] we further note that $\tau(T) \in EO_{2n+1}(R[T])$. □

Corollary 2.11. *Let R be a regular ring containing a field k with Char$(k) \neq 2$. Then, for $n \geq 2$, the relation induced by homotopy on $Q'_{2n}(R)$ is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. Exactly similar to the proof of Corollary 2.9, with obvious modifications. □

2.3. **The pointed set $Q_{2n}(R)$ and its homotopy orbits:** Let R be any commutative Noetherian ring where 2 is invertible. Let $n \geq 2$ and consider the set

$$Q_{2n}(R) = \{(x_1, \cdots x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n, z) \in R^{2n+1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i = z - z^2\}$$

with a base point $(0, \cdots, 0, 0, \cdots, 0, 0)$. It is proved in [F 3] that there is a bijection $\beta_n : Q_{2n}(R) \to Q'_{2n}(R)$ and its inverse $\alpha_n : Q'_{2n}(R) \to Q_{2n}(R)$ given by

- $\beta_n(x_1, \cdots x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n, z) = (2x_1, \cdots 2x_n, 2y_1, \cdots, 2y_n, 1 - 2z)$
- $\alpha_n(x_1, \cdots x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n, z) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1, \cdots x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n, 1 - z)$

Note that both α_n and β_n preserve the base points of the respective sets. They induce bijections between the sets $\pi_0(Q_{2n}(R))$ and $\pi_0(Q'_{2n}(R))$ (will use the same notations). By transporting the action of $EO_{2n+1}(R)$ on $Q'_{2n}(R)$ through the bijections given above one sees that $EO_{2n+1}(R)$ also acts on $Q_{2n}(R)$ in the following way:

$$Mv := \alpha_n((\beta_n(v))M),$$

for $v \in Q_{2n}(R)$ and $M \in EO_{2n+1}(R)$. Further, note that the bijections α_n, β_n induce bijections between the sets $\pi_0(Q_{2n}(R))$ and $\pi_0(Q'_{2n}(R))$. Combining these with Theorem 2.10, one obtains the following result.

Theorem 2.12. *Let R be a regular ring containing a field k with Char$(k) \neq 2$. Then, for any $n \geq 2$ there is a bijection*

$$\pi_0(Q_{2n}(R)) \xrightarrow{\sim} Q_{2n}(R)/EO_{2n+1}(R).$$

We shall require the following corollary later.

Corollary 2.13. *Let R be a regular ring containing a field k with Char$(k) \neq 2$. Then, for $n \geq 2$, the relation induced by homotopy on $Q_{2n}(R)$ is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. Exactly similar to the proof of Corollary 2.9, with obvious modifications. □

3. The Euler class groups and homotopy

Let R be a smooth affine domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ over an infinite perfect field k. In this section we recollect definitions of the Euler class groups from [BRS 1]. However, our emphasis will be on the definition of the Euler class group given by M. V. Nori ([BRS 1]) in terms of homotopy. In [DK], the first named author and Manoj Keshari investigated in detail the relation between these two equivalent definitions and their consequences. We reproduce some of those results at one place for the convenience of the reader.

Let R be as above. Let B be the set of pairs (m, ω_m) where m is a maximal ideal of R and $\omega_m : (R/m)^d \twoheadrightarrow m/m^2$. Let G be the free abelian group generated by B. Let $J = m_1 \cap \cdots \cap m_r$, where m_i are maximal ideals of R. Any $\omega_J : (R/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ induces surjections $\omega_i : (R/m_i)^d \twoheadrightarrow m_i/m_i^2$ for each i. We associate $(J, \omega_J) := \sum_1^r (m_i, \omega_i) \in G$. Now,

Definition 3.1. (Nori) Let S be the set of elements $(I(1), \omega(1)) - (I(0), \omega(0))$ of G where (i) $I \subset R[T]$ is a local complete intersection ideal of height d; (ii) Both $I(0)$ and $I(1)$ are reduced ideals of height d; (iii) $\omega(0)$ and $\omega(1)$ are induced by $\omega : (R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$. Let H be the subgroup generated by S. The d-th Euler class group $E^d(R)$ is defined as $E^d(R) := G/H$.

Definition 3.2. (Bhatwadekar-Sridharan) Let S_1 be the set of elements (J, ω_J) of G for which ω_J has a lift to a surjection $\theta : R^d \twoheadrightarrow J$ and H_1 be the subgroup of G generated by S_1 . The Euler class group $E^d(R)$ is defined as $E^d(R) := G/H_1$.

Remark 3.3. We shall refer to the elements of the Euler class group as *Euler cycles*.

Remark 3.4. The above definitions appear to be slightly different than the ones given in [BRS 1]. However, note that if $(J, \omega_J) \in S$ (resp. S_1) and if $\overline{\sigma} \in E_d(R/J)$, then the element $(J, \omega_J \overline{\sigma})$ is also in S (resp. S_1). For details, see [DK, Remark 5.4] and [DZ, Proposition 2.2].

Remark 3.5. Bhatwadekar-Sridharan proved (see [BRS 1, Remark 4.6]) that $H = H_1$ and therefore the above definitions of the Euler class group are equivalent.

To give a unified treatment for the two definitions of the Euler class group, the following "abstract" lemma from [K, 4.1] is crucial (see [K] and [DK] for details). The relevance of this lemma here is explained in Remark 3.8 below.

Lemma 3.6. *Let G be a free abelian group with basis $B = (e_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$. Let $\sim$ be an equivalence relation on B. Define $x \in G$ to be "reduced" if $x = e_1 + \cdots + e_r$ and $e_i \neq e_j$ for $i \neq j$. For $x \in G$ with $x = e_1 + \cdots + e_r$ define "support" of x to be the set $supp(x) := \{e_1, \cdots, e_r\}$. Define $x \in G$ to be "nicely reduced" if $x = e_1 + \cdots + e_r$ is such that $e_i \not\sim e_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let $A \subset G$ be such that*

1. *Every element of A is nicely reduced.*
2. *Let $x, y \in G$ be such that each of $x, y, x+y$ is nicely reduced. If two of $x, y, x+y$ are in A, then so is the third.*
3. *Let $x \in G \setminus A$ be nicely reduced and let $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}$ be finite. Then there exists $y \in G$ with the following properties : (i) y is nicely reduced; (ii) $x + y \in A$; (iii) $y + e_j$ is nicely reduced $\forall j \in \mathcal{J}$.*

Let K be the subgroup of G generated by A. Then, if $x \in K$ is nicely reduced, then $x \in A$.

Applying the above result one can prove the following theorem (see [BRS 1, 4.11], [K, 4.2], [DK, Theorem 5.13] for details).

Theorem 3.7. *Let R be a smooth affine domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ over an infinite perfect field k. Let $J \subset R$ be a reduced ideal of height d and $\omega_J : (R/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ be a surjection. Then, the following are equivalent:*

1. *The image of $(J, \omega_J) = 0$ in $E^d(R)$*
2. *ω_J can be lifted to a surjection $\theta : R^d \twoheadrightarrow J$.*
3. *$(J, \omega_J) = (I(0), \omega(0)) - (I(1), \omega(1))$ in G where (i) $I \subset R[T]$ is a local complete intersection ideal of height n; (ii) Both $I(0)$ and $I(1)$ are reduced ideals of height n, and (iii) $\omega(0)$ and $\omega(1)$ are induced by $\omega : (R[T]/I)^n \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$.*

A series of remarks are in order.

Remark 3.8. There is a reason for collecting Lemma 3.6 here. Note that if an Euler cycle (J, ω_J) is such that J is reduced, then it is nicely reduced in the sense of (3.6). Now let $(J, \omega_J), (J', \omega_{J'})$ be such that both J, J' are reduced ideals and they represent the same element in $E^d(R)$. In other words, $(J, \omega_J) - (J', \omega_{J'}) \in H_1$ (where H_1 is as in (3.2). It is easy to see from the proof of [K, 4.1] that $(J, \omega_J) - (J', \omega_{J'})$ is nicely reduced. Therefore, $(J, \omega_J) - (J', \omega_{J'})$ actually belongs to S_1 (where S_1 is as in (3.2)). This observation will be crucially used in the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Remark 3.9. Let $J \subset R$ be an ideal of height d which is not necessarily reduced and let $\omega_J : (R/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ be a surjection. Then also one can associate an element (J, ω_J) in $E^d(R)$ and prove the above theorem for (J, ω_J). See [BRS 1, Remark 4.16] for details.

Remark 3.10. All the definitions and results in this section can be easily extended to the case when R is a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k. The key result on which this entire section depends (including the equivalence of the two definitions of $E^d(R)$) is the *"homotopy theorem"* of Bhatwadekar-Sridharan [BRS 1, Theorem 3.8]. This homotopy theorem, which was proved for smooth affine domains over an infinite perfect field, has an obvious extension to regular domains essentially of finite type over such fields.

We now introduce a notation which will be used in the rest of this article.

Notation. Let $\dim(R) = d$. Let $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R)$ and $u \in R$ be a unit modulo J. Let σ be any diagonal matrix in $GL_d(R/J)$ with determinant $\overline{u}$ (bar means modulo J). We shall denote the composite surjection

$$(R/J)^d \overset{\sigma}{\xrightarrow{\sim}} (R/J)^d \overset{\omega_J}{\twoheadrightarrow} J/J^2$$

by $\overline{u}\omega_J$. It is easy to check that the element $(J, \overline{u}\omega_J) \in E^d(R)$ is independent of σ (the key fact used here is that $SL_d(R/J) = E_d(R/J)$ as $\dim(R/J) = 0$).

Remark 3.11. All the definitions and results in this section can also be extended to a much more relaxed range. Let R be a regular domain which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k. Let n be an integer such that $2n \geq d + 3$. Then the n-th Euler class group $E^n(R)$ has been defined in [BRS 4]. To extend the results of this section one has to use [BK, Theorem 4.13], which is a generalization of the homotopy theorem of Bhatwadekar-Sridharan mentioned above. We are not working out the details here as the process is routine.

4. A bijection

Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k. Recall from Section 2 that we have a set

$$Q_{2d}(R) := \{(x_1, \cdots x_d, y_1, \cdots, y_d, z) \in R^{2d+1} \mid \sum x_i y_i = z - z^2\}$$

Recall further that $\pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$ denotes the set of equivalence classes of $Q_{2d}(R)$ with respect to the equivalence relation generated by homotopies. The homotopy orbit of $v = (x_1, \cdots x_d, y_1, \cdots, y_d, z) \in Q_{2d}(R)$ will be denoted by $[v] = [(x_1, \cdots x_d, y_1, \cdots, y_d, z)]$.

We first define a set-theoretic map from the Euler class group $E^d(R)$ to $\pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$.

By [BRS 1, Remark 4.14] we know that an arbitrary element of $E^d(R)$ can be represented by a single Euler cycle (J, ω_J), where J is a reduced ideal of height d. Now $\omega_J : (R/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ is given by $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) + J^2$, for some $a_1, \cdots, a_d \in J$. Applying the Nakayama Lemma one obtains $s \in J^2$ such that $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, s)$ with $s - s^2 = a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_d b_d$ for some $b_1, \cdots, b_d \in R$ (see [Mo] for a proof). We associate to

(J,ω_J) the homotopy class $[(a_1,\cdots,a_d,b_1,\cdots,b_d,s)]$ in $\pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$. The idea of such an association is borrowed from [F 3].

The following proposition has been proved in [AF, MaMi]. However, our arguments are quite different.

Proposition 4.1. *Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k. The association $(J,\omega_J) \mapsto [(a_1,\cdots,a_d,b_1,\cdots,b_d,s)]$ is well defined and gives rise to a set-theoretic map $\theta_d : E^d(R) \to \pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$. The map θ_d takes the trivial Euler cycle to the homotopy orbit of the base point $(0,\cdots,0)$ of $Q_{2d}(R)$.*

Proof. We need to check the following:

(1) If ω_J is also given by $J = (\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_d) + J^2$ and if $\tau \in J^2$ is such that $\tau - \tau^2 = \alpha_1\beta_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d\beta_d$, then $[(a_1,\cdots,a_d,b_1,\cdots,b_d,s)] = [(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_d,\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_d,\tau)]$ in $\pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$.
(2) If $\overline{\sigma} \in E_d(R/J)$, then the image of $(J,\omega_J\overline{\sigma})$ in $Q_{2d}(R)$ is homotopic to the image of (J,ω_J).
(3) If (J,ω_J) is also represented by $(J',\omega_{J'})$ in $E^d(R)$, then their images are homotopic in $Q_{2d}(R)$.

Proof of (1) : This has been proved in [F 3, Theorem 2.0.2].
Proof of (2) : Suppose that (J,ω_J) is given by $J = (a_1,\cdots,a_d) + J^2$, and $s \in J^2$ be such that $J = (a_1,\cdots,a_d,s)$ with $s - s^2 = a_1b_1 + \cdots + a_db_d$ for some $b_1,\cdots,b_d \in R$. Let $\sigma \in E_d(R)$ be a lift of $\overline{\sigma}$ and write $(a_1,\cdots,a_d)\sigma = (\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_d)$. Then $J = (\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_d) + J^2$ and $J = (\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_d,s)$. If we write $(b_1,\cdots,b_d)(\sigma^{-1})^t = (\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_d)$ (here t stands for transpose), then it is easy to see that $s(1-s) = \alpha_1\beta_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d\beta_d$. Now, note that

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\sigma^{-1})^t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in E_{2d+1}(R)$$

and $(a_1,\cdots,a_d,b_1,\cdots,b_d,s)\lambda = (\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_d,\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_d,s)$. Since elementary matrices are homotopic to identity (see Remark 2.5), we are done in this case.

Proof of (3) : Note that by Remark 3.8, $(J,\omega_J) - (J',\omega_{J'}) \in S_1$, where S_1 is as in Definition 3.2. Therefore, there exists $(K,\omega_K) \in S_1$ such that $(J,\omega_J) - (J',\omega_{J'}) = (K,\omega_K)$ in G. This implies that $(J,\omega_J) = (J',\omega_{J'}) + (K,\omega_K)$ in G and therefore, $J = J' \cap K$. Since J is reduced, we have $J' + K = R$. Assume that ω_J is induced by $J = (a_1,\cdots,a_d) + J^2$. From the definition of the Euler class group above it is clear that $\omega_{J'}$ is induced by $J' = (a_1,\cdots,a_d) + J'^2$ and ω_K is induced by $K = (a_1,\cdots,a_d) + K^2$.

Now $(K, \omega_K) \in S_1$ and therefore, it is a complete intersection ideal and ω_K has a surjective lift $\alpha : R^d \twoheadrightarrow K$. This means that $K = (c_1, \cdots, c_d)$ such that $c_i - a_i \in K^2$. Because of (2) above, we are now free to apply elementary transformations. Applying elementary transformations on $(c_1, \cdots, c_d)$, if necessary, we may assume by [RS, Lemma 3] that $\text{ht}(c_1, \cdots, c_{d-1}) = d-1$ and $J' + (c_1, \cdots, c_{d-1}) = R$ (Note that if we apply $\sigma \in E_d(R)$ on $(c_1, \cdots, c_d)$, we have to apply σ on $(a_1, \cdots, a_d)$ as well to retain the relations and equations). Consider the ideal $I' = (c_1, \cdots, c_{d-1}, (1-c_d)T + c_d)$ in $R[T]$. Write $I = I' \cap J'[T]$. Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem we can then find $f_1, \cdots, f_d \in I$ such that:

(a) $I = (f_1, \cdots, f_d) + I^2$.
(b) $f_i = c_i \bmod I'^2$ for $i = 1, \cdots, d-1$ and $f_d = (1-c_d)T + c_d \bmod I'^2$.
(c) $f_i = a_i \bmod J'[T]^2$ for $i = 1, \cdots, d$.

Let $\omega : (R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ be the surjection corresponding to $f_1, \cdots, f_d$. We then have, $I(0) = J$, $I(1) = J'$. From (b) we get $f_i(0) = c_i \bmod K^2$. Since we already have $c_i = a_i \bmod K^2$, it follows that $f_i(0) = a_i \bmod K^2$. On the other hand, from (c) we get $f_i(0) = a_i \bmod J'^2$. Combining, we have $f_i(0) = a_i \bmod J^2$. Also, from (c), we obtain $f_i(1) = a_i \bmod J'^2$.

The upshot of the above paragraph is that ω_J is induced by $J = (f_1(0), \cdots, f_d(0)) + J^2$, whereas ω'_J is induced by $J' = (f_1(1), \cdots, f_d(1)) + J'^2$.

As $I = (f_1, \cdots, f_d) + I^2$, we can find $h \in I^2$ and $g_1, \cdots, g_d \in R[T]$ such that $I = (f_1, \cdots, f_d, h)$ with $h - h^2 = f_1 g_1 + \cdots + f_d g_d$. Then $(f_1, \cdots, f_d, g_1, \cdots, g_d, h) \in Q_{2d}(R[T])$. It follows from the last paragraph and (1) that the images of $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) + J^2$ and $J = (f_1(0), \cdots, f_d(0)) + J^2$ are same in $\pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$. Similarly, the images of $J' = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) + J'^2$ and $J' = (f_1(1), \cdots, f_d(1)) + J'^2$ are same in $\pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$. Now, to complete the proof, it is enough to show that the images of $J = (f_1(0), \cdots, f_d(0)) + J^2$ and $J' = (f_1(1), \cdots, f_d(1)) + J'^2$ are homotopic. Note that $h(0) \in I(0)^2 = J^2$ with $h(0) - h(0)^2 = f_1(0)g_1(0) + \cdots + f_d(0)g_d(0)$. Similarly, we have $h(1) \in I(1)^2 = J'^2$ with $h(1) - h(1)^2 = f_1(1)g_1(1) + \cdots + f_d(1)g_d(1)$. Therefore it is easy to see that $(f_1, \cdots, f_d, g_1, \cdots, g_d, h) \in Q_{2d}(R[T])$ is the required homotopy. This concludes the proof. □

We now proceed to prove that the set-theoretic map $\theta_d : E^d(R) \to \pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$ is a bijection. In order to do that, we need some preparatory lemmas. We prove a form of the so called "moving lemma" below (Lemma 4.4). This has been proved in [AF]. However, we reprove it here for the sake of completeness. Further, instead of using the *generalized dimension function* and the concept of *basic elements*, we use the *prime avoidance lemma*. Therefore the arguments here are probably more basic and easier to follow.

The following lemma is an easy application of the prime avoidance lemma (for a proof, see [IR, Lemma 7.1.4]).

Lemma 4.2. *Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring and $(a_1, \cdots, a_n, a) \in A^{n+1}$. Then there exist $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n \in A$ such that $ht(I_a) \geq n$, where $I = (a_1 + a\mu_1, \cdots, a_n + a\mu_n)$. In other words, if $\mathfrak{p} \in Spec(A)$ such that $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then $ht(\mathfrak{p}) \geq n$.*

Remark 4.3. If A is a geometrically reduced affine algebra over an infinite field then Swan's version of Bertini theorem, as given in [BRS 2, Theorem 2.11], implies that $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n$ can be so chosen that the ideal $I = (a_1 + a\mu_1, \cdots, a_n + a\mu_n)$ has the additional property that $(A/I)_a$ is a geometrically reduced ring.

Lemma 4.4. *(***Moving Lemma)** *Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring. Let $(a, b, s) = (a_1, \cdots, a_n, b_1, \cdots, b_n, s) \in Q_{2n}(A)$. Then there exists $\mu = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in A^n$ such that*

1. *The row $(a', b', s') = (a_1 + \mu_1(1-s)^2, \cdots, a_n + \mu_n(1-s)^2, b_1 + (1 - \mu b^t), \cdots, b_n + (1 - \mu b^t), s + \mu b^t(1-s)) \in Q_{2n}(A)$,*
2. *$[(a, b, s)] = [(a', b', s')]$ in $\pi_0(Q_{2n}(A))$ and*
3. *$ht(K) \geq n$, where $K = (a_1 + \mu_1(1-s)^2, \cdots, a_n + \mu_n(1-s)^2, s + \mu b^t(1-s))$.*

Proof. We consider the row $(a_1, \cdots, a_n, (1-s)^2) \in A^{n+1}$. By Lemma 4.2 there exist $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n \in A$ such that $\mathrm{ht}(I_{(1-s)^2}) \geq n$, where $I = (a_1 + \mu_1(1-s)^2, \cdots, a_n + \mu_n(1-s)^2)$. In other words, if $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ such that $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and $(1-s) \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \geq n$.

Set $A = a + T(1-s)^2\mu \in A[T]^n$, then an easy computation yields that

$$Ab^t(1 - T\mu b^t) = (1-s)(1 - T\mu b^t) - (1-s)^2(1 - T\mu b^t)^2.$$

Setting $B = (1 - T\mu b^t)b$, it is easy to check that $(A, B, (1-s)(1 - T\mu b^t)) \in Q_{2n}(A[T])$. Then it follows that $(A, B, 1 - (1-s)(1 - T\mu b^t)) = (A, B, s + T\mu b^t(1-s)) \in Q_{2n}(A[T])$. Thus (1) and (2) are proved.

Now we have the following relations among the ideals:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \big(a_1 + \mu_1(1-s)^2, \cdots, a_n + \mu_n(1-s)^2\big) \\ &= \big(a + \mu(1-s)^2, (1-s)(1 - \mu b^t)\big) \cap \big(a + \mu(1-s)^2, s + \mu b^t(1-s)\big) \\ &= \big(a + \mu(1-s)^2, (1-s)(1 - \mu b^t)\big) \cap K \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ such that $K \subset \mathfrak{p}$. As $s + \mu b^t(1-s) \in K \subset \mathfrak{p}$, it follows that $(1-s)(1 - \mu b^t) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and therefore, $1 - s \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Note that $I \subset K \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, by the first paragraph, $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \geq n$. This proves (3). □

Remark 4.5. If A is a geometrically reduced affine algebra over an infinite perfect field then using Swan's Bertini theorem (see Remark 4.3 above), one can choose K to have the additional property that either $K = A$ or K is a reduced ideal.

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section (see also [AF, MaMi]). Our approach and arguments are entirely different from these articles.

Theorem 4.6. *Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k. The set-theoretic map $\theta_d : E^d(R) \to \pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$ is a bijection.*

Proof. Let $v = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, b_1, \cdots, b_d, s) \in Q_{2d}(R)$. Then the ideal $I(v) = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, s)$ of R need not be of height d. However, we may apply Lemma 4.4 to obtain $v' = (a'_1, \cdots, a'_d, b'_1, \cdots, b'_d, s')$ in the same homotopy class of v such that the ideal $J = (a'_1, \cdots, a'_d, s')$ has height $\geq d$ and is reduced. Then $J = (a'_1, \cdots, a'_d) + J^2$. If $\omega_J : (R/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ is the corresponding map, then it follows that the image of (J, ω_J) under θ_d is $[v'] = [v]$ in $\pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$. This shows that θ_d is surjective.

Rest of the proof is devoted to prove that θ_d is injective. Let (J, ω_J) and $(J', \omega_{J'})$ be elements of $E^d(R)$ be such that $\theta_d((J, \omega_J)) = \theta_d((J', \omega_{J'}))$. Let ω_J be given by $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) + J^2$. As $\mathrm{ht}(J) = d$, applying Lemma 4.2 if necessary, we may assume that $\mathrm{ht}(a_1, \cdots, a_d) = d$. Now there exists $s \in J^2$ such that $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, s)$ with $s - s^2 = a_1b_1 + \cdots + a_db_d$ for some $b_1, \cdots, b_d \in R$. Similarly, $\omega_{J'}$ is given by $J' = (a'_1, \cdots, a'_d) + J'^2$ with $\mathrm{ht}(a'_1, \cdots, a'_d) = d$. There exists $s' \in J'^2$ be such that $J' = (a'_1, \cdots, a'_d, s')$ with $s' - s'^2 = a'_1b'_1 + \cdots + a'_db'_d$ for some $b'_1, \cdots, b'_d \in R$.

We now assume that

$$\theta((J, \omega_J)) = [(a_1, \cdots, a_d, b_1, \cdots, b_d, s)] = [(a'_1, \cdots, a'_d, b'_1, \cdots, b'_d, s')] = \theta((J', \omega_{J'}))$$

in $\pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$. Applying Corollary 2.13 we have $V = (f_1, \cdots, f_d, g_1, \cdots, g_d, h) \in Q_{2d}(R[T])$ such that $V(0) = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, b_1, \cdots, b_d, s)$ and $V(1) = (a'_1, \cdots, a'_d, b'_1, \cdots, b'_d, s')$. If we consider the ideal $I = (f_1, \cdots, f_d, h)$ of $R[T]$ then we have $I = (f_1, \cdots, f_d) + I^2$. Let $\omega_I : (R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ denote the corresponding surjection. However, the height of I need not be d, although both $I(0)$ $(= J)$ and $I(1)$ $(= J')$ have height d.

As both $\mathrm{ht}((a_1, \cdots, a_d) = d = \mathrm{ht}(a'_1, \cdots, a'_d)$, it follows that

$$\mathrm{ht}(f_1, \cdots, f_d, T(T-1)) = d + 1 \qquad (*)$$

Consider $(f_1, \cdots, f_d, (T^2 - T)h^2) \in R[T]^{d+1}$. By Lemma 4.2, there exist $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_d \in R[T]$ such that

$$\mathrm{ht}((F_1, \cdots, F_d)_{h^2(T^2-T)}) \geq d,$$

where $F_i = f_i + \mu_i h^2(T^2 - T)$, for $i = 1, \cdots, d$. Note that we have $I = (F_1, \cdots, F_d) + (h)$, and $(h) \subset I^2$. Applying [BRS 3, 2.11], there exists $k \in (h)$ such that $I = (F_1, \cdots, F_d, k)$

where $k - k^2 \in (F_1, \cdots, F_d)$. We now take $K = (F_1, \cdots, F_d, 1-k)$ and write $\omega_K : (R[T]/K)^d \twoheadrightarrow K/K^2$ for the corresponding surjection. We record that $I \cap K = (F_1, \cdots, F_d)$ in $R[T]$.

Let $P \in \mathrm{Spec}(R[T])$ be such that $K \subseteq P$. Then, as $k \in (h)$ and $1-k \in K$, we see that $h \notin P$. If $T^2 - T \notin P$, then $\mathrm{ht}(P) \geq d$. If $T^2 - T \in P$, then by $(*)$ above, $\mathrm{ht}(P) \geq d+1$. In any case, $\mathrm{ht}(K) \geq d$. Note that

$$K(0) \cap I(0) = K(0) \cap J = (F_1(0), \cdots, F_d(0)) = (a_1, \cdots, a_d),$$

$$K(1) \cap I(1) = K(1) \cap J' = (F_1(1), \cdots, F_d(1)) = (a'_1, \cdots, a'_d).$$

As the height of each of the ideals involved here is d, we have

$$(J, \omega_J) + (K(0), \omega_{K(0)}) = 0 = (J', \omega_{J'}) + (K(1), \omega_{K(1)}) \text{ in } E^d(R),$$

where $\omega_{K(0)}$ is induced by $a_1, \cdots, a_d$, and $\omega_{K(1)}$ is induced by $a'_1, \cdots, a'_d$.

Therefore, $(J, \omega_J) - (J', \omega_{J'}) = (K(1), \omega_{K(1)}) - (K(0), \omega_{K(0)}) \in H$ (where H is as in (3.1)) and consequently, $(J, \omega_J) = (J', \omega_{J'})$ in $E^d(R)$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.7. Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k. Let n be an integer such that $2n \geq d+3$. Then also we have a bijection $\theta_n : E^n(R) \to \pi_0(Q_{2n}(R))$. The proof is similar to the one as above with suitable modifications.

Remark 4.8. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring of dimension d. Let n be an integer such that $2n \geq d+3$. The n-th Euler class group $E^n(R)$ has been defined in this case as well (see [BRS 3] for $n = d \geq 2$, and [BRS 4] for $3 \leq d \leq 2n-3$). Further, following the same set of arguments as above, one can define a well-defined set-theoretic map $\theta : E^d(R) \longrightarrow \pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$. This map is surjective but is not injective in general. In fact, even if R is an affine algebra over an algebraically closed field, θ may not be injective. The issue here is smoothness. We elaborate with an example.

The following example of Bhatwadekar, based on an example constructed by Bhatwadekar, Mohan Kumar and Srinivas [BRS 1, Example 6.4], is a straight lift from [DK].

Example 4.9. (Bhatwadekar) Let

$$B = \frac{\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z, W]}{(X^5 + Y^5 + Z^5 + W^5)},$$

as in [BRS 1, Example 6.4]. Then B is a graded normal affine domain over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension 3, having an isolated singularity at the origin. Let $F(B)$ be the subgroup of $\widetilde{K}_0(B)$ generated by all elements of the type $[P] - [P^*]$, where P is a finitely generated projective B-module. As B is graded, $\mathrm{Pic}(B) = 0$. Therefore, by [BRS 1, 6.1] $F(B) = F^3K_0(B)$. Since $\mathrm{Proj}(B)$ is a smooth surface of degree 5 in $\mathbb{P}^3$, it follows from a result

of Srinivas that $F(B) = F^3K_0(B) \neq 0$. Therefore, there exists a projective B-module P of rank 3 with trivial determinant such that $[P] - [P^*]$ is a nonzero element of $F(B)$. This implies that P does not have a unimodular element. We now consider the ring homomorphism $f : B \to B[T]$ given by $f(x) = xT, f(y) = yT, f(z) = zT, f(w) = wT$. We regard $B[T]$ as a B-module through this map and $Q = P \otimes_B B[T]$. Then it is easy to see that Q/TQ is free and $Q/(T-1)Q = P$. Therefore, Q is a projective $B[T]$-module which is not extended from B. Now consider a surjection $\alpha : Q \twoheadrightarrow I$ where $I \subset B[T]$ is an ideal of height 3. Fix an isomorphism $\chi : B[T] \simeq \wedge^3(Q)$. Note that Q/IQ is a free $B[T]/I$-module. We choose an isomorphism $\sigma : (B[T]/I)^3 \simeq Q/IQ$ such that $\wedge^3\sigma = \chi \otimes B[T]/I$. Composing σ and $\alpha \otimes B[T]/I$, we obtain a surjection $\omega : (B[T]/I)^3 \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$. It is now easy to see that $(I(0), \omega(0)) = 0$ in $E^3(B)$ (as Q/TQ is free), whereas $(I(1), \omega(1)) = e(Q/(T-1)Q, \chi(1)) = e(P, \chi(1))$ in $E^3(B)$ cannot be trivial (as P does not have a unimodular element). □

Remark 4.10. The above example shows that there are distinct elements in $E^3(B)$ whose images in $\pi_0(Q_6(B))$ are the same.

5. The map to the van der Kallen group

Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k with $\mathrm{Char}(k) \neq 2$. We now define a map $\zeta_d : \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R)) \to \pi_0(Um_{d+1}(R))$, where the target set is the homotopy orbits of $Um_{d+1}(R)$.

Lemma 5.1. *The map $\zeta_d : \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R)) \to \pi_0(Um_{d+1}(R))$ induced by*

$$(x_1, \cdots x_d, y_1, \cdots, y_d, z) \mapsto (x_1, \cdots, x_d, z)$$

is well defined.

Proof. Assume that $(x_1, \cdots x_d, y_1, \cdots, y_d, z)$ and $(x'_1, \cdots x'_d, y'_1, \cdots, y'_d, z')$ are homotopic. Then there is $(f_1(T), \cdots, f_d(T), g_1(T), \cdots, g_d(T), h(T)) \in Q'_{2d}(R[T])$ such that $f_i(0) = x_i$, $g_i(0) = y_i$ and $h(0) = z$, whereas $f_i(1) = x'_i$, $g_i(1) = y'_i$ and $h(0) = z'$.

As $(f_1(T), \cdots, f_d(T), g_1(T), \cdots, g_d(T), h(T)) \in Q'_{2d}(R[T])$, by definition,

$$(f_1(T), \cdots, f_d(T), h(T)) \in Um_{d+1}(R[T]),$$

which provides the desired homotopy between the unimodular rows $(x_1, \cdots, x_d, z)$ and $(x'_1, \cdots, x'_d, z')$. □

Remark 5.2. The above lemma is valid for any commutative Noetherian ring and d does not play any role.

Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k with $\mathrm{Char}(k) \neq 2$. Let us recall all the maps we have established so far:

(1) A set-theoretic bijection $\theta_d : E^d(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0(Q_{2d}(R))$.
(2) A set-theoretic bijection $\beta_d : \pi_0(Q_{2d}(R)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R))$.
(3) A set-theoretic map $\zeta_d : \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R)) \longrightarrow \pi_0(Um_{d+1}(R))$.
(4) A set-theoretic bijection $\eta_d : \pi_0(Um_{d+1}(R)) \xrightarrow{\sim} Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$.

Summing up, we thus have a set-theoretic map $\delta_d : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$, which is the composition of all the above maps:

$$E^d(R) \xrightarrow{\theta_d} \pi_0(Q_{2d}(R)) \xrightarrow{\beta_d} \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R)) \xrightarrow{\zeta_d} \pi_0(Um_{d+1}(R)) \xrightarrow{\eta_d} Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$$

Therefore, if $\omega_J : (R/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ is induced by $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, s)$ with $s \in J^2$ and $s(1-s) \in (a_1, \cdots, a_d)$, then δ_d is given by:

$$\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = [(2a_1, \cdots 2a_d, 1-2s)]$$

Remark 5.3. If R is a regular domain of dimension d which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic $\neq 2$ and n is an integer such that $3 \leq d \leq 2n-3$, then also we have a map $\delta_n : E^n(R) \longrightarrow Um_{n+1}(R)/E_{n+1}(R)$, as above.

Question 5.4. The following questions on the map δ_d are quite natural to ask:

(1) Is δ_d injective?
(2) Is δ_d surjective?
(3) Is δ_d a morphism of groups?
(4) If δ_d turns out to be bijective for some R, then the group structure of $E^d(R)$ will induce a group structure on $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$. Will it coincide with van der Kallen's group structure?

Remark 5.5. In Section 7 we will show that all the questions listed above have affirmative answers when $X = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is a smooth affine variety over reals and $R = \mathbb{R}(X)$ (which is the ring obtained from A by inverting all functions having no real zeros), and the real manifold $X(\mathbb{R})$ of real points of X is orientable.

Later in this section we show that if k is of characteristic $\neq 2$ and is of cohomological dimension at most one (for example, algebraically closed or C_1 fields), then the map $\delta_d : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is the trivial morphism. We then give two different examples to show that: (1) δ_d can have nontrivial kernel; (2) δ_d can have nontrivial cokernel.

We do not know what happens if k is neither $\mathbb{R}$ nor of cohomological dimension ≤ 1. Here we make some simple observations on δ_d. These might be useful to understand δ_d. By a slight abuse of notations, for a unimodular row $v = (c_1, \cdots, c_{d+1}) \in Um_{d+1}(R)$, we shall denote the elementary orbit $[v]$ as $[c_1, \cdots, c_{d+1}]$.

Proposition 5.6. *Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Let $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R)$. Then, in $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$, we have*

$$\delta_d((J, -\omega_J)) = \delta_d((J, \omega_J))^{-1}$$

Proof. Let $\omega_J : (R/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ be induced by $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, s)$ with $s \in J^2$ and $s(1-s) = a_1b_1 + \cdots + a_db_d$. Then recall from above that δ_d is given by

$$\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = [2a_1, \cdots 2a_d, 1 - 2s],$$

which is independent of the choice of $b_1, \cdots, b_d$. As

$$(2a_1)(2b_1) + \cdots + (2a_d)(2b_d) + (1-2s)^2 = 1,$$

it follows from [vdK 2, Lemma 3.5 (iii)] that

$$[2a_1, \cdots 2a_d, 1 - 2s]^{-1} = [2a_1, \cdots 2a_d, 2s - 1]$$

We observe that $(J, -\omega_J)$ is induced by $J = (-a_1, \cdots, a_d, s)$ with $s \in J^2$ and

$$s(1-s) = (-a_1)(-b_1) + a_2b_2 + \cdots + a_db_d$$

Therefore, $\delta_d((J, -\omega_J)) = [-2a_1, \cdots 2a_d, 1 - 2s]$. As we can elementarily transform the unimodular row $(-2a_1, \cdots 2a_d, 1 - 2s)$ to $(2a_1, \cdots 2a_d, 2s - 1)$, we are done. □

The following easy corollary is now immediate. This corollary will be useful soon.

Corollary 5.7. *Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Let $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R)$. Assume that $(J, \omega_J) = (J, -\omega_J)$ in $E^d(R)$. Then $\delta_d((J, \omega_J))$ is 2-torsion in $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$.*

In view of the preceding proposition, the following result is quite expected.

Proposition 5.8. *Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Let $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R)$. Then $\delta_d((J, \omega_J) + (J, -\omega_J))$ is the trivial orbit in $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$.*

Proof. Let ω_J be induced by $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) + J^2$. Take

$$K = (a_1, \cdots, a_{d-1}) + J^2.$$

Then $K = (a_1, \cdots, a_{d-1}, a_d^2) + K^2$ and by [BDM, Lemma 3.6], the corresponding ω_K will give $(K, \omega_K) = (J, \omega_J) + (J, -\omega_J)$ in $E^d(R)$.

Now there exists $t \in K^2$ such that $K = (a_1, \cdots, a_{d-1}, a_d^2, t)$ with

$$t - t^2 \in (a_1, \cdots, a_{d-1}, a_d^2).$$

We have, $\delta(K, \omega_K) = [2a_1, \cdots, 2a_{d-1}, 2a_d^2, 1-2t] = [2a_1, \cdots, 2a_{d-2}, 4a_{d-1}, a_d^2, 1-2t]$ (applying [vdK 2, Lemma 3.5 (iv)] here). But if we move the square, we remain in the same elementary orbit ([vdK 2, Lemma 3.5 (vi)]), implying that

$$[2a_1, \cdots, 2a_{d-2}, 4a_{d-1}, a_d^2, 1-2t] = [2a_1, \cdots, 2a_{d-2}, 4a_{d-1}, a_d, (1-2t)^2].$$

But $(1-2t)^2$ is 1 modulo $(a_1, \cdots, a_{d-1}, a_d^2)$ and therefore it is also 1 modulo the ideal $(2a_1, \cdots, 2a_{d-2}, 4a_{d-1}, a_d)$. As a consequence, this orbit is trivial. □

The following proposition shows that δ_d obeys the unary operation of taking inverse.

Proposition 5.9. *Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Let $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R)$. Then, in $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$, we have*

$$\delta_d(-(J, \omega_J)) = \delta_d((J, \omega_J))^{-1}$$

Proof. Let $\omega_J : (R/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ be induced by $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, s)$ with $s \in J^2$ and $s(1-s) \in (a_1, \cdots, a_d)$. Using a standard general position argument if necessary, we may add multiples of s to $a_1, \cdots, a_d$ and assume that $\mathrm{ht}(a_1, \cdots, a_d) = d$.

We take $K = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, 1-s) = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, (1-s)^2)$. We then have $J + K = R$ and $J \cap K = (a_1, \cdots, a_d)$. In $E^d(R)$ this reads as $(J, \omega_J) + (K, \omega_K) = 0$, where ω_K is induced by $K = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) + K^2$. By the definition of δ_d, we have

$$\delta_d((K, \omega_K)) = [2a_1, \cdots 2a_d, 1-2(1-s)] = [2a_1, \cdots 2a_d, 2s-1] = [2a_1, \cdots 2a_d, 1-2s]^{-1}$$

(see the proof of the above proposition). As $(K, \omega_K) = -(J, \omega_J)$, we are done. □

We now turn our attention to the case when the base field is algebraically closed. We first remark on a special case. Let R be a smooth affine domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ over a field k, where k is the algebraic closure of a finite field (Characteristic $\neq 2$). It is easy to deduce from [MMR, Theorem 3.6] that the Euler class group $E^d(R)$ is trivial. On the other hand, by [SuVa, Corollary 18.1, Theorem 18.2], $E_{d+1}(R)$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+1}(R)$ (see also the discussion preceding [BRS 5, Theorem 4.11]). Therefore, the van der Kallen group $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is also trivial. For an arbitrary algebraically closed base field k of characteristic $\neq 2$, we have the following result.

Theorem 5.10. *Let R be a smooth affine domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Then, the map $\delta_d : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is the trivial morphism.*

Proof. Under the assumptions, the Euler class group is isomorphic to the Chow group $CH^d(R)$ of 0-cycles. Let $(I, \omega_I) \in E^d(R)$. As $CH^d(R)$ is uniquely divisible, it follows that there exists $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R)$ such that $(I, \omega_I) = 2(J, \omega_J)$. As k is algebraically closed, -1 is a square and therefore, applying [BRS 2, Lemma 3.4] we have $(J, \omega_J) = (J, -\omega_J)$ in $E^d(R)$. Therefore, $(I, \omega_I) = (J, \omega_J) + (J, -\omega_J)$. The proof is now complete by Proposition 5.8. □

Remark 5.11. It follows from [Su, Lemma 8.5] that there exists an affine algebra R of dimension two over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $E_3(R)$ does not act transitively on $Um_3(R)$. Therefore, the van der Kallen group $Um_3(R)/E_3(R)$ is not trivial. This example and the above theorem together imply that the map δ_d is not surjective in general. On the other hand, there are smooth affine domains of dimension d over algebraically closed field k for which the Euler class group $E^d(R)$ (isomorphic to the Chow group of zero cycles in this case) is nontrivial. Those examples will show that δ_d is not injective in general.

Theorem 5.10 can be improved in the following form. After reading an earlier version of this paper, Jean Fasel suggested us this improvement, also indicating a proof. We sincerely thank him for allowing us to include this result here.

Theorem 5.12. (Fasel) *Let k be an infinite perfect field of cohomological dimension ≤ 1 and of characteristic unequal to 2. Let R be a smooth affine domain of dimension $d \geq 3$ over k. Then the map $\delta_d : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is the trivial morphism.*

Proof. Under the assumptions of the theorem, by a result of Garge-Rao [GRa], the group structure on $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is *Mennicke-like*[1], which means that

$$[x_1, \cdots, x_d, y][x_1, \cdots, x_d, z] = [x_1, \cdots, x_d, yz]$$

and $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is isomorphic to the group of universal Mennicke symbols. Therefore, by [F 2, Theorem 2.2], the group $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is uniquely 2-divisible. Consequently, the map $\kappa : [v] \mapsto [v]^2$ is an isomorphism of $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$. As the group structure is Mennicke-like, κ is actually Vaserstein's square operation, taking an orbit $[x_1, \cdots, x_d, z]$ to $[x_1, \cdots, x_d, z^2]$.

Now let $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R)$ and let ω_J be induced by $(a_1, \cdots, a_d, s)$ with $s(1-s) \in (a_1, \cdots, a_d)$. Then $\kappa\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = [2a_1, \cdots, 2a_d, (1-2s)^2]$ and the image is clearly the trivial orbit, as $(1-2s)^2 \equiv 1$ modulo $(a_1, \cdots, a_d)$. As κ is an isomorphism, the result follows. □

[1]Ravi Rao suggested us to use this term. In literature, it has been described as "nice" group structure.

Remark 5.13. As mentioned in the above proof, when the base field is infinite perfect of characteristic $\neq 2$ and of cohomological dimension ≤ 1, the group $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ has *Mennicke-like* group structure (see [GRa]). It will be interesting to know about the behaviour of δ_d when $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ has *Mennicke-like* group structure, without any assumption on the base field.

6. The composite maps

Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. We now recall the definition of a group homomorphism $\phi_d : Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \to E^d(R)$. When d is even, ϕ_d has been defined in [BRS 3]. The extension to general d is available in [DZ]. We urge the reader to look at [DZ, Section 4].

Definition 6.1. Let $v = (a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}) \in Um_{d+1}(R)$. Applying elementary transformations if necessary, we may assume that the height of the ideal $(a_1, \cdots, a_d)$ is d. Write $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d)$ and let $\omega_J : R^d \twoheadrightarrow J$ be the surjection induced by $(a_1, \cdots, a_d)$. As a_{d+1} is a unit modulo J, we have $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d a_{d+1}) + J^2$ and the corresponding element in $E^d(R)$ is $(J, \overline{a_{d+1}}\omega_J)$. Let $[v]$ denote the orbit of v in $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$. We define $\phi_d([v]) = (J, \overline{a_{d+1}}\omega_J)$.

Remark 6.2. When d is even, the above definition coincides with the one given in [BRS 3]. We spend a few words on the definition given in [BRS 3]. Note that the unimodular row v gives rise to a stably free R-module, say, P of rank d. Bhatwadekar-Sridharan defines $\phi_d([v])$ to be the *Euler class* of P. The computation of this Euler class in [BRS 3] shows that it turns out to be exactly the one given above, namely, $(J, \overline{a_{d+1}}\omega_J)$.

Now, from the definition of this map we would like to compute the composite maps $\phi_d\delta_d : E^d(R) \longrightarrow E^d(R)$ and $\delta_d\phi_d : Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \to Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$. The description of the map $\phi_d\delta_d : E^d(R) \longrightarrow E^d(R)$ will play a very crucial role in the next section when we carry out computations on real varieties.

Theorem 6.3. *With notations as above, for any $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R)$, we have*

$$\phi_d\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = (J, 2^d\omega_J) - (J, -2^d\omega_J).$$

Consequently, if d is even or if $\sqrt{2} \in R$, then $\phi_d\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = (J, \omega_J) - (J, -\omega_J)$.

Proof. Suppose that ω_J is given by $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) + J^2$. Using some standard arguments we may assume that $\mathrm{ht}(a_1, \cdots, a_d) = d$. There is $s \in J^2$ with $s - s^2 \in (a_1, \cdots, a_d)$. Now, $s - s^2 = a_1b_1 + \cdots + a_db_d$, for some $b_1, \cdots, b_d \in R$. Then $\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = [2a_1, \cdots, 2a_d, 1 - 2s] \in Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$. Write $K = (2a_1, \cdots, 2a_d) = (a_1, \cdots, a_d)$ (as $\frac{1}{2} \in R$).

If we write $J' = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, 1-s)$, then it is easy to see that $K = J \cap J'$, and $J' = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) + J'^2$. Therefore, we write

$$0 = (K, \omega_K) = (J, \omega_J) + (J', \omega_{J'})$$

in $E^d(R)$, where ω_K is induced by the generators $a_1, \cdots, a_d$ of K and $\omega_{J'}$ is induced from the data $J' = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) + J'^2$.

Now, from the definition of ϕ_d it follows that $\phi_d\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = (K, \overline{(1-2s)2^d}\omega_K)$. We write $u = (1-2s)$. Then, we have,

$$\phi_d\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = (K, \overline{u2^d}\omega_K) = (J, \widetilde{u2^d}\omega_J) + (J', \overline{\overline{u2^d}}\omega_{J'})$$

As $1 - 2s \equiv 1 \bmod J$ and $1 - 2s \equiv -1 \bmod J'$, we have $\phi_d\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = (J, 2^d\omega_J) + (J', -2^d\omega_{J'})$. Further, note that $(J, -2^d\omega_J) + (J', -2^d\omega_{J'}) = 0$. Therefore, finally we have,

$$\phi_d\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = (J, 2^d\omega_J) - (J, -2^d\omega_J).$$

If d is even or $\sqrt{2} \in R$, then 2^d is a square and it follows from [BRS 2, Lemma 3.4] that $\phi_d\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = (J, \omega_J) - (J, -\omega_J)$. □

Corollary 6.4. *The composite $\phi_d\delta_d : E^d(R) \longrightarrow E^d(R)$ is a morphism of groups.*

Proof. Let $(I, \omega_I), (K, \omega_K) \in E^d(R)$. By successive application of moving lemmas ([DK, Lemma 3.1]) if necessary, we may assume that $I + K = R$. We write $J = I \cap K$. Then ω_I and ω_K will induce $\omega_J : R^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ and in $E^d(R)$ we have $(J, \omega_J) = (I, \omega_I) + (K, \omega_K)$. Also note that $(J, -\omega_J) = (I, -\omega_I) + (K, -\omega_K)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi_d\delta_d((I, \omega_I) + (K, \omega_K)) \\
&= \phi_d\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = (J, 2^d\omega_J) - (J, -2^d\omega_J) \\
&= (I, 2^d\omega_I) + (K, 2^d\omega_K) - (I, -2^d\omega_I) - (K, -2^d\omega_K) \\
&= \Big((I, 2^d\omega_I) - (I, -2^d\omega_I)\Big) + \Big((K, 2^d\omega_K) - (K, -2^d\omega_K)\Big) \\
&= \phi_d\delta_d((I, \omega_I)) + \phi_d\delta_d((K, \omega_K))
\end{aligned}$$

and this proves the corollary. □

We now compute $\delta_d\phi_d : Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \to Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $v = (a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}) \in Um_{d+1}(R)$ and let v^* denote the "antipodal" vector $(-a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}) \in Um_{d+1}(R)$. Then we have*

$$\delta_d\phi_d([v]) = [v][v^*]^{-1}D(2, \cdots, 2, 1, 1),$$

where D stands for diagonal matrix. If d is odd then we have

$$\delta_d\phi_d([v]) = [v][v^*]^{-1}.$$

Proof. We must clarify first that in the formula given in the statement of the theorem we are using the fact from [vdK 2] that $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ has a right $GL_{d+1}(R)$-module structure. For the definition of the action of $GL_{d+1}(R)$ and other details, we refer to [vdK 2, 5.2, 5.3].

Let $v = (a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}) \in Um_{d+1}(R)$. Recall from (6.1) that $\phi_d : Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \to E^d(R)$ takes $[v]$ to (J, ω_J) where $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d)$ and ω_J is induced by

$$J = (a_1, \cdots, a_{d-1}, a_d a_{d+1}) + J^2.$$

Now as $v \in Um_{d+1}(R)$, there exist $b_1, \cdots, b_{d+1} \in R$ such that $a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_{d+1} b_{d+1} = 1$. Multiplying both sides by $a_{d+1} b_{d+1}$ we get

$$a_1 b'_1 + \cdots + a_{d-1} b'_{d-1} + a_d a_{a_{d+1}} b'_d + (a_{d+1} b_{d+1})^2 = a_{d+1} b_{d+1},$$

implying that

$$a_1 b'_1 + \cdots + a_{d-1} b'_{d-1} + a_d a_{a_{d+1}} b'_d = a_{d+1} b_{d+1} - (a_{d+1} b_{d+1})^2.$$

Note that $1 - a_{d+1} b_{d+1} = a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_d b_d \in J$. Therefore,

$$\delta_d((J, \omega_J)) = [2a_1, \cdots, 2a_{d-1}, 2a_d a_{d+1}, 1 - 2(1 - a_{d+1} b_{d+1})]$$

$$= [2a_1, \cdots, 2a_{d-1}, 2a_d a_{d+1}, 2a_{d+1} b_{d+1} - 1] = \delta_d \phi_d([v]).$$

Now consider $v^* = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, -a_{d+1}) \in Um_{d+1}(R)$, the *antipodal* of v. Then it follows from [vdK 2, Lemma 3.5(iii)] that

$$[a_1, \cdots, a_d, -a_{d+1}]^{-1} = [a_1, \cdots, a_d, b_{d+1}] \text{ in } Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R).$$

We denote a diagonal matrix by $D(*, \cdots, *)$. We now compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_d \phi_d([v]) &= [2a_1, \cdots, 2a_{d-1}, 2a_d a_{d+1}, 2a_{d+1} b_{d+1} - 1] \\
&= [a_1, \cdots, a_{d-1}, 2a_d a_{d+1}, 2a_{d+1} b_{d+1} - 1] D(2, \cdots, 2, 1, 1) \text{ by [vdK 2, 5.3 (i)]} \\
&= [a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}][a_1, \cdots, a_d, b_{d+1}] D(2, \cdots, 2, 1, 1) \text{ by [vdK 2, 3.5 (i)]} \\
&= [a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}][a_1, \cdots, a_d, -a_{d+1}]^{-1} D(2, \cdots, 2, 1, 1) = [v][v^*]^{-1} D(2, \cdots, 2, 1, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Now let d be odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_d \phi_d([v]) &= [2a_1, \cdots, 2a_{d-1}, 2a_d a_{d+1}, 2a_{d+1} b_{d+1} - 1] \\
&= [a_1, \cdots, a_{d-1}, 2a_d a_{d+1}, 2a_{d+1} b_{d+1} - 1] \text{ by [vdK 2, 3.5 (iv)]} \\
&= [a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}][a_1, \cdots, a_d, b_{d+1}] = [a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}][a_1, \cdots, a_d, -a_{d+1}]^{-1} = [v][v^*]^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is therefore complete. □

Remark 6.6. It is clear from the proof that if $\sqrt{2} \in R$ and d is even, then also we have $\delta_d\phi_d([v] = [v][v^*]^{-1}$.

Corollary 6.7. *The map $\delta_d\phi_d : Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \to Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is a morphism of groups.*

Proof. As $d \geq 2$, in the above theorem we can absorb the diagonal matrix inside and apply [vdK 2, 3.5 (iv)] to rewrite the formula for $\delta_d\phi_d$ for general d in the following way:

$$\delta_d\phi_d([a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}]) = [a_1, 2^{d-1}a_2, a_3, \cdots a_{d+1}][a_1, 2^{d-1}a_2, a_3, \cdots a_d, -a_{d+1}]^{-1} \quad (*)$$

(We remind the reader again that $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is a right $GL_{d+1}(R)$-module [vdK 2, 5.2, 5.3]. Imagine $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ additively for a moment. What we have applied here is nothing but the distributive law!).

To check that $\delta_d\phi_d$ is a morphism of groups, by [vdK 3, 3.2, 3.3], it is enough to prove that if $v = (x, c_1, \cdots, c_d)$ and $w = (y, c_1, \cdots, c_d)$ are unimodular rows with $x + y = 1$, then $\delta_d\phi_d([v][w]) = \delta_d\phi_d([v])\delta_d\phi_d([w])$. We now apply the formula $(*)$ from above:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_d\phi_d([v][w]) = \delta_d\phi_d([xy, a_1, \cdots, a_d]) &= [xy, 2^{d-1}a_1, \cdots, a_d][xy, 2^{d-1}a_1, \cdots, -a_d]^{-1} \\
&= \{[x, 2^{d-1}a_1, \cdots, a_d][y, 2^{d-1}a_1, \cdots, a_d]\}\{[x, 2^{d-1}a_1, \cdots, -a_d]^{-1}[y, 2^{d-1}a_1, \cdots, -a_d]^{-1}\} \\
&= \{[x, 2^{d-1}a_1, \cdots, a_d][x, 2^{d-1}a_1, \cdots, -a_d]^{-1}\}\{[y, 2^{d-1}a_1, \cdots, a_d][y, 2^{d-1}a_1, \cdots, -a_d]^{-1}\} \\
&= \delta_d\phi_d([v])\delta_d\phi_d([w])
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 6.8. If the orbit space $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ has *Mennicke-like group structure* (meaning, $[a, v_1, \cdots, v_d][b, v_1, \cdots, v_d] = [ab, v_1, \cdots, v_d]$), then it is easy to see that $\delta_d\phi_d$ is the trivial morphism.

7. Computations on real varieties

Let $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a smooth affine variety over $\mathbb{R}$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. We set up some notations. Let $X(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of real points of X. We assume that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is not empty. Therefore, under the Euclidean topology, $X(\mathbb{R})$ is a smooth real manifold of dimension d. Let $\mathbb{R}(X)$ denote the ring obtained from R by inverting all functions which do not have any real zeroes. Since $\mathbb{R}(X)$ is a localization of R and $\dim(R) = \dim(\mathbb{R}(X))$, there is a canonical surjective group homomorphism $\Gamma : E^d(R) \twoheadrightarrow E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$ (see [BRS 2, page 307] and one has an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(\Gamma) \longrightarrow E^d(R) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) \longrightarrow 0$$

Bhatwadekar-Sridharan then go on to describe $\ker(\Gamma)$ and prove the following result.

Theorem 7.1. [BRS 2, Theorem 4.14] *With notations as above, ker*$(\Gamma) = E^d(\mathbb{C})$*, where* $E^d(\mathbb{C})$ *denotes the subgroup of* $E^d(R)$ *generated by all* $(\mathfrak{m}, \omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$*, where* $\mathfrak{m}$ *runs over all the closed complex points of* X*.*

Notation. We set up some more notations (only) for this section. We shall denote the map $\delta_d : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ simply by δ in this section. We shall use the same notation for the similar map $E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$, when there is no confusion. If they appear together somewhere, we shall put an appropriate subscript to distinguish, which will be clear from the context. We shall treat the map $\phi_d : Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \longrightarrow E^d(R)$ in a similar manner.

7.1. **Computations over** $\mathbb{R}(X)$**.** We start with a very crucial result for $\mathbb{R}(X)$. The following proof is motivated by [OPS, Proposition 2.1].

Theorem 7.2. *Let* $\mathbb{R}(X)$ *be as above and* $d \geq 2$*. Then the set-theoretic map* $\delta : E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ *is surjective.*

Proof. In order to show that δ is surjective, it is enough to show that any orbit in $Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ can be represented by a unimodular row $(x_1, \cdots, x_d, z)$ such that there exist $a_1, \cdots, a_d \in \mathbb{R}(X)$ with

$$x_1a_1 + \cdots + x_da_d + z^2 = 1$$

First note that, applying elementary transformations we may assume by Swan's Bertini Theorem [BRS 2, Theorem 2.11] that $I = (x_1, \cdots, x_d)$ is a reduced ideal of height d. As $(x_1, \cdots, x_d, z)$ is unimodular, there exist $b_1, \cdots, b_d, b \in \mathbb{R}(X)$ such that

$$x_1b_1 + \cdots + x_db_d + zb = 1$$

Now, $\mathbb{R}(X)/I$ is a finite direct product of $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the unit $\overline{b}^2 \in (\mathbb{R}(X)/I)^*$ is a fourth power, say, $\overline{b}^2 = \overline{t}^4$. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}(X)$ be a lift of $\overline{t}$. Since $z^2t^4 \equiv 1$ modulo I, there exist $a_1, \cdots, a_d \in \mathbb{R}(X)$ such that

$$x_1a_1 + \cdots + x_da_d + (zt^2)^2 = 1$$

To finish the proof, we need to show that $(x_1, \cdots, x_d, z)$ and $(x_1, \cdots, x_d, zt^2)$ are in the same elementary orbit of $Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$. By [vdK 2, Lemma 3.5 (v)] we have

$$[x_1, \cdots, x_d, zt^2] = [x_1, \cdots, x_d, z][x_1, \cdots, x_d, t^2] \text{ in } Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$$

The row $(x_1, \cdots, x_d, t^2)$ can be taken to $(x_1, \cdots, x_d, x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2 + t^2)$ using elementary transformations. Since $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2 + t^2$ does not vanish at any real point, it is a unit in $\mathbb{R}(X)$. Consequently, $[x_1, \cdots, x_d, t^2]$ is trivial in $Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ and the proof is complete. □

Remark 7.3. The above theorem is also valid if $\mathbb{R}$ is replaced by any real closed field.

Now, for computations, there are two cases to consider: (1) $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable, (2) $X(\mathbb{R})$ is non-orientable. Recall that if the canonical module $K_R := \wedge^d(\Omega_{R/\mathbb{R}})$ is free, then $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable and it is non-orientable otherwise. We have to deal with these cases separately. We first assume that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable. In this case, by [BRS 2], $E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is torsion-free. We use only this piece of (nontrivial) information to prove that δ is bijective in Theorem (7.6) below. But before that we collect a crucial result from [BRS 2] in the form of the following proposition and a corollary.

Proposition 7.4. *With notations as above let $X(\mathbb{R})$ be orientable. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a real maximal ideal of R (therefore a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{R}(X)$ as well). Assume that the real point corresponding to $\mathfrak{m}$ belongs to a compact connected component of $X(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for any $\omega_{\mathfrak{m}} : (R/\mathfrak{m})^d \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$, one has*

$$(\mathfrak{m}, \omega_{\mathfrak{m}}) + (\mathfrak{m}, -\omega_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0$$

Proof. See the end of proof of [BRS 2, Theorem 4.13]. □

Corollary 7.5. *With notations as above let $X(\mathbb{R})$ be orientable. Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}(X)$ be a reduced ideal and $\omega_J : (\mathbb{R}(X)/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ be a surjection. Then*

$$(J, \omega_J) + (J, -\omega_J) = 0$$

Proof. Let $J = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \mathfrak{m}_r \cap \mathfrak{m}_{r+1} \cap \cdots \mathfrak{m}_s$. Assume that the real points corresponding to the maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{r+1}, \cdots, \mathfrak{m}_s$ do not belong to any compact connected component of $X(\mathbb{R})$.

Now ω_J will induce $\omega_i : (\mathbb{R}(X)/\mathfrak{m}_i)^d \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_i/\mathfrak{m}_i^2$ for $i = 1, \cdots, s$ and we have:

$$(J, \omega_J) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (\mathfrak{m}_i, \omega_i)$$

By (the proof of) [BRS 2, Theorem 4.13], $(\mathfrak{m}_i, \omega_i) = 0$ for $i = r+1, \cdots, s$. The corollary now follows from the above proposition. □

Theorem 7.6. *Assume that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable and $d \geq 2$. The set-theoretic map*

$$\delta : E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) \to Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$$

is a bijection.

Proof. We proved above that δ is surjective. To prove that δ is injective, it is enough to prove that $\phi\delta$ is injective. Since $\phi\delta$ is a morphism of groups, we pick $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$ (with J reduced) such that $\phi\delta((J, \omega_J)) = 0$ and prove that $(J, \omega_J) = 0$.

By the assumption, we have $(J, \omega_J) - (J, -\omega_J) = 0$. But as J is reduced, by Corollary 7.5 we also have

$$(J, \omega_J) + (J, -\omega_J) = 0$$

in $E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$. Therefore, $2(J, \omega_J) = 0$. But under the assumptions on $X(\mathbb{R})$, the group $E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$ has no nontrivial torsion (see [BRS 2]). Therefore, $(J, \omega_J) = 0$. □

Corollary 7.7. *Assume that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable and $d \geq 2$. Then, the group homomorphism $\phi : Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X)) \longrightarrow E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is injective.*

Proof. As $\phi\delta$ is injective and δ is a surjection, the result follows. □

The set-theoretic map δ turns out to be a group homomorphism, as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 7.8. *Assume that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable and $d \geq 2$. Then the set-theoretic map*

$$\delta : E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) \to Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$$

is in fact an isomorphism of groups, where the group structure on $Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is the one given in [vdK 1].

Proof. Let us denote the group composition in $Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ by $*$. In this proof the actual representation of elements would not matter. Therefore, let $\alpha, \beta \in E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$. Our aim is to show that $\delta(\alpha + \beta) = \delta(\alpha) * \delta(\beta)$ (here $+$ is the group composition of the Euler class group).

As $\phi\delta : E^d(R) \longrightarrow E^d(R)$ is a morphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\delta(\alpha + \beta)) &= (\phi\delta)(\alpha + \beta) = (\phi\delta)(\alpha) + (\phi\delta)(\beta) \\ &= \phi(\delta(\alpha)) + \phi(\delta(\beta)) = \phi(\delta(\alpha) * \delta(\beta))\end{aligned}$$

As ϕ is injective, we have $\delta(\alpha + \beta) = \delta(\alpha) * \delta(\beta)$. □

Let $X(\mathbb{R})$ be connected but not compact (with no assumption on orientability). Then we know from [BRS 2, Corollary 4.9] that the Euler class group $E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is trivial. The same conclusion is now immediate for the group $Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$.

Corollary 7.9. *Assume that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is connected but not compact (with no assumption on orientability), and $d \geq 2$. Then $Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is the trivial group.*

Proof. We have δ surjective and under the assumptions, $E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is trivial by [BRS 2, Corollary 4.9]. □

As a consequence of the results obtained in this section and the structure theorem for the Euler class groups as established in [BRS 2, BDM], we obtain the following structure theorem for the van der Kallen group over $\mathbb{R}(X)$.

Theorem 7.10. *Let $X = Spec(R)$ be a smooth affine variety of dimension $d \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{R}$. Assume that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of compact connected components of $X(\mathbb{R})$. Then,*

$$Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{Z}$$

Corollary 7.11. *Let $X(\mathbb{R})$ be orientable, compact and connected. Then the composite group homomorphism $\phi\delta : E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) \longrightarrow E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is multiplication by 2. The same conclusion holds for the other composite $\delta\phi : Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X)) \to Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$.*

Proof. We know from [BRS 2] that $E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is generated by $(\mathfrak{m}, \omega)$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is any real maximal ideal and $\omega : (\mathbb{R}(X)/\mathfrak{m})^d \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is any surjection.

Now, from Section 6, we have

$$\phi\delta((\mathfrak{m}, \omega)) = (\mathfrak{m}, \omega) - (\mathfrak{m}, -\omega)$$

But by Proposition 7.4, $(\mathfrak{m}, \omega) + (\mathfrak{m}, -\omega) = 0$. Therefore, it follows that

$$\phi\delta((\mathfrak{m}, \omega)) = 2(\mathfrak{m}, \omega)$$

The second assertion can be proved using Theorem 6.5. □

The following theorem will be useful in the latter half of this section.

Theorem 7.12. *Let $X = Spec(R)$ be a smooth affine variety of dimension $d \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{R}$. Assume that d is <u>even</u> and $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable. Then,*

$$Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/SL_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X)).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that the canonical projection $\epsilon : Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/SL_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is injective.

Recall from (6.1) and the subsequent remark (or [DZ, Section 4]), that the morphism $\phi : Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X)) \longrightarrow E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is such that when d is even, then $\phi([v])$ is precisely the Euler class of the stably free module associated to the unimodular row v in a canonical way.

Now let $v = (a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}) \in Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ be a completable matrix. It is enough to show that this unimodular row is elementarily completable. As v is completable, the

Euler class of the stably free module associated to v is trivial, and therefore, $\phi([v]) = 0$ in $E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$. As ϕ is injective, $[v]$ is trivial in $Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$. □

7.2. **Isomorphism classes of stably free modules over smooth real affine domains.** Let R be a smooth affine domain over $\mathbb{R}$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. The set of isomorphism classes of stably free R-modules of rank d is in bijective correspondence with $Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$. This orbit space has a group structure ([vdK 1]) and it is a quotient of $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$. Let $\epsilon : Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \twoheadrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$ be the canonical projection. We are interested in the (set-theoretic) map

$$\delta' := \epsilon\delta : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R),$$

which we eventually prove to be a group homomorphism when the complex maximal ideals are all assumed to be complete intersections.

Using [OPS, Proposition 2.1] we first show that δ' is a surjective map.

Theorem 7.13. *Let R be a smooth affine domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{R}$. Then the map $\delta' : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $v = (a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}) \in Um_{d+1}(R)$. In this proof we shall use the same notation $[a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}]$ for the SL_{d+1}-orbit of v.

Applying Swan's Bertini theorem we may assume that $R/(a_1, \cdots, a_{d-2})$ is a regular domain of dimension 2. Let "bar" denote reduction modulo $(a_1, \cdots, a_{d-2})$. Then there is a canonical group homomorphism $f : E^2(\overline{R}) \longrightarrow E^d(R)$ (see [BRS 3, Remark 7.4] for details). Also, there is another natural group homomorphism $g : Um_3(\overline{R})/SL_3(\overline{R}) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$. It is not very difficult to check that the following diagram is commutative, where $\overline{\delta}'_2$ is the composite $E^2(\overline{R}) \longrightarrow Um_3(\overline{R})/E_3(\overline{R}) \twoheadrightarrow Um_3(\overline{R})/SL_3(\overline{R})$. The horizontal maps are set-theoretic.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^2(\overline{R}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\delta}'_2} & Um_3(\overline{R})/SL_3(\overline{R}) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ E^d(R) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R) \end{array}$$

Now consider $[\overline{a}_{d-1}, \overline{a}_d, \overline{a}_{d+1}]$ in $Um_3(\overline{R})/SL_3(\overline{R})$. By [OPS, Proposition 2.1] we have $[\overline{a}_{d-1}, \overline{a}_d, \overline{a}_{d+1}] = [\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}]$ in $Um_3(\overline{R})/SL_3(\overline{R})$ such that $\overline{xa} + \overline{y}\overline{b} + \overline{z}^2 = 1$ for some $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in \overline{R}$. From the definition of $\overline{\delta}'_2$ it follows that there exists $(\overline{J}, \omega_{\overline{J}}) \in E^2(\overline{R})$ such that $\overline{\delta}'_2((\overline{J}, \omega_{\overline{J}})) = [\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}] = [\overline{a}_{d-1}, \overline{a}_d, \overline{a}_{d+1}]$. Let $f((\overline{J}, \omega_{\overline{J}})) = (J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R)$. Then from the commutativity of the diagram it is clear that $\delta'((J, \omega_J)) = [a_1, \cdots, a_{d+1}]$ in $Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$. □

We now show that if $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable, d is even and if the complex points of R are all complete intersections, then δ' is an isomorphism.

Theorem 7.14. *Let $X = Spec(R)$ be a smooth affine variety of dimension $d \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{R}$. Assume that d is even, $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable and the complex points of R are all complete intersections. Then, $\delta' : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$ is a bijection. In fact, δ' is an isomorphism of groups. Further, the groups $Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$ and $Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/SL_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ are canonically isomorphic.*

Proof. Recall that there is a canonical surjective group homomorphism $\Gamma : E^d(R) \twoheadrightarrow E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$ (see [BRS 2, page 307]). On the other hand, from the main theorem of [OP] we see that there is a canonical surjective group homomorphism $\gamma : Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R) \twoheadrightarrow Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/SL_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$. We consider the following commutative diagram. To distinguish the vertical arrows, we have put subscripts.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & E^d(R) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta'_R} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta'_{\mathbb{R}(X)}} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\gamma) & \longrightarrow & \frac{Um_{d+1}(R)}{SL_{d+1}(R)} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \frac{Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))}{SL_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Since the complex maximal ideals of R are all complete intersections, it follows from [BRS 2, Theorem 4.14] that $\ker(\Gamma) = 0$ and therefore Γ is an isomorphism. Since d is even, combining Theorem 7.8 and Theorem 7.12 we have,

$$\delta'_{\mathbb{R}(X)} : E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/SL_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X)).$$

Now it follows that $\delta'_R : E^d(R) \to Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$ is injective. In Theorem 7.13 we proved that δ'_R is surjective.

It follows immediately that γ is one-one and therefore an isomorphism. Using the injectivity of γ, a simple argument will show that δ'_R is a morphism of groups. □

We now have the following corollary (see also [F 1, Theorem 5.9]).

Corollary 7.15. *Let $X = Spec(R)$ be a smooth affine variety of dimension $d \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{R}$. Assume that d is even, $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable and the complex points of R are all complete intersections. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of compact connected components of $X(\mathbb{R})$. Then,*

$$Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{Z}.$$

In other words, the set of isomorphism classes of stably free R-modules of rank d is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{Z}$ (this is an isomorphism of groups).

Proof. We have, $Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} E^d(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} E^d(\mathbb{R}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{C\in\mathcal{C}} \mathbb{Z}$. □

We can now deduce that the surjection $\delta' : E^d(R) \to Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$ is also a morphism of groups, irrespective of d being odd or even.

Corollary 7.16. *Let $X = Spec(R)$ be a smooth affine variety of dimension $d \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{R}$. Assume that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable and the complex points of R are all complete intersections. Then the surjection $\delta' : E^d(R) \to Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$ is a morphism of groups.*

Proof. The idea is basically the one used in [BRS 3, Theorem 7.6]. Let (I, ω_I), $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $I + J = R$. Write $K = I \cap J$. Then, by the Chinese remainder theorem we obtain $\omega_K : (R/K)^d \twoheadrightarrow K/K^2$, induced by ω_I and ω_J. Let ω_K be given by $K = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) + K^2$. Then it follows that ω_I is given by $I = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) + I^2$ and ω_J by $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) + J^2$, and we have

$$(I, \omega_I) + (J, \omega_J) = (K, \omega_K) \text{ in } E^d(R).$$

There exist $s \in I^2$ and $t \in J^2$ such that $I = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, s)$ with $s(1-s) \in (a_1, \cdots, a_d)$ and $J = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, t)$ with $t(1-t) \in (a_1, \cdots, a_d)$. It is then easy to see that $K = (a_1, \cdots, a_d, st)$ with $st(1-st) \in (a_1, \cdots, a_d)$.

Applying Swan's Bertini theorem, we may assume that the ring $\overline{R} = R/(a_1, \cdots, a_{d-2})$ is a regular domain of dimension 2. Let "bar" denote reduction modulo $(a_1, \cdots, a_{d-2})$. Then there is a canonical group homomorphism $f : E^2(\overline{R}) \longrightarrow E^d(R)$ (see [BRS 3, Remark 7.4] for details). We note that $f((\overline{I}, \omega_{\overline{I}})) = (I, \omega_I)$, where $\overline{I} = (\overline{a}_{d-1}, \overline{a}_d, \overline{s})$ and $\omega_{\overline{I}}$ is induced from that. Similar conclusions hold for J and K, and we have

$$(\overline{I}, \omega_{\overline{I}}) + (\overline{J}, \omega_{\overline{J}}) = (\overline{K}, \omega_{\overline{K}}) \text{ in } E^2(R).$$

Also, there is another morphism $g : Um_3(\overline{R})/SL_3(\overline{R}) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$. Let $\overline{\delta'}_2$ be the composite $E^2(\overline{R}) \longrightarrow Um_3(\overline{R})/E_3(\overline{R}) \twoheadrightarrow Um_3(\overline{R})/SL_3(\overline{R})$. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^2(\overline{R}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\delta'}_2} & Um_3(\overline{R})/SL_3(\overline{R}) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ E^d(R) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R) \end{array}$$

We note that by Theorem 7.14, $\overline{\delta'}_2$ is a group homomorphism (in fact, an isomorphism).

$$\begin{aligned}\delta'((I,\omega_I)+(J,\omega_J)) &= \delta'(f((\overline{I},\omega_{\overline{I}})+(\overline{J},\omega_{\overline{J}})))\\ &= g\overline{\delta'}_2((\overline{I},\omega_{\overline{I}})+(\overline{J},\omega_{\overline{J}}))\\ &= g\overline{\delta'}_2((\overline{I},\omega_{\overline{I}}))+g\overline{\delta'}_2((\overline{J},\omega_{\overline{J}}))\\ &= \delta' f((\overline{I},\omega_{\overline{I}}))+\delta' f((\overline{J},\omega_{\overline{J}}))\\ &= \delta'((I,\omega_I))+\delta'((J,\omega_J))\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the proof is complete. □

We end this subsection with some discussions on the map $\delta_R : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$.

Theorem 7.17. *Let $X = Spec(R)$ be a smooth affine variety of dimension d over $\mathbb{R}$. Assume that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable and the complex maximal ideals of R are all complete intersections. Then the map $\delta_R : E^d(R) \to Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is injective, whereas the morphism $\beta : Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is surjective.*

Proof. The following commutative diagram is self-explanatory:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^d(R) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_R} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_{\mathbb{R}(X)}} \\ \frac{Um_{d+1}(R)}{E_{d+1}(R)} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \frac{Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))}{E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))} \end{array}$$

Under the assumptions we see that Γ is an isomorphism. By Theorem 7.8, the map $\delta_{\mathbb{R}(X)}$ is an isomorphism. As $\beta\delta_R = \delta_{\mathbb{R}(X)}\Gamma$, it follows that δ_R is injective and β is surjective. □

With same hypotheses in the above theorem, we have not been able to prove that δ_R is surjective or that δ_R is a morphism. As it turns out, δ_R is surjective if and only if it is an isomorphism. In the following theorem we collect various equivalent conditions for δ_R to be an isomorphism. Among these conditions the one which stands out is the following: *δ_R is an isomorphism if and only if any unimodular row of the form $(a_1, \cdots, a_d, b^2) \in Um_{d+1}(R)$ is elementarily completable.*

Theorem 7.18. *Let $X = Spec(R)$ be a smooth affine variety of dimension d over $\mathbb{R}$. Assume that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable and the complex maximal ideals of R are all complete intersections. Then, the following are equivalent:*

1. *Any unimodular row of the form $(a_1, \cdots, a_d, b^2) \in Um_{d+1}(R)$ is elementarily completable.*
2. *$\delta_R : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ is a set-theoretic surjection.*

(3) $\delta_R : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ *is an isomorphism of groups.*
(4) $\beta : Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ *is an isomorphism of groups.*
(5) *The morphism* $\phi_R : Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \longrightarrow E^d(R)$ *is injective and therefore the sequence* $0 \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R) \xrightarrow{\phi_R} E^d(R) \longrightarrow E_0^d(R) \longrightarrow 0$ *is exact.*

Proof. We shall refer to the commutative diagram from the above theorem. We only prove (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). The rest of the implications are easy to deduce.
(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let $[x_1, \cdots, x_d, z] \in Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ be arbitrary. It is easy to see following the proof of Theorem 7.2, or [OPS, Proposition 2.1] that there exists $t \in R$ and $a_1, \cdots, a_d \in R$ such that $x_1a_1 + \cdots + x_da_d + (zt^2)^2 = 1$, and

$$[x_1, \cdots, x_d, zt^2] = [x_1, \cdots, x_d, z][x_1, \cdots, x_d, t^2] \text{ in } Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$$

By (2), $[x_1, \cdots, x_d, t^2]$ is trivial and therefore, $[x_1, \cdots, x_d, zt^2] = [x_1, \cdots, x_d, z]$. It is easy to see that $[x_1, \cdots, x_d, zt^2]$ is in the image of δ_R. □
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Assume that δ_R is surjective. The orbit $[a_1, \cdots, a_d, b^2] \in Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$ then has a preimage, say, $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R)$. Note that the image of $[a_1, \cdots, a_d, b^2]$ (under the natural map β) in $Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is clearly trivial. Therefore, by the commutative diagram in the theorem above, (J, ω_J) travels to the trivial element via two isomorphisms. Therefore, $(J, \omega_J) = 0$ and as a consequence, $[a_1, \cdots, a_d, b^2]$ is trivial in $Um_{d+1}(R)/E_{d+1}(R)$. □

7.3. **Computations on spheres.** Let us now apply our above computations on real spheres. Consider the coordinate ring of the d-dimensional real sphere $S^d(\mathbb{R})$ for $d \geq 2$ (no further assumption on d):

$$R = \frac{\mathbb{R}[X_1, \cdots, X_{d+1}]}{(X_1^2 + \cdots + X_{d+1}^2 - 1)} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \cdots, x_{d+1}]$$

We now have the following result (see also [F 1, Corollary 5.12]).

Theorem 7.19. *Let R be the coordinate ring of $S^d(\mathbb{R})$. Then $Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$ is generated by the orbit of the tangent bundle.*

Proof. We have $\delta' : E^d(R) \twoheadrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$, a surjective group homomorphism (7.13 and 7.16). Recall from [BRS 2] that $E^d(R)$ is generated by $(\mathfrak{m}, \omega_{\mathfrak{m}})$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is any real maximal ideal and $\omega_{\mathfrak{m}} : (R/\mathfrak{m})^d \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ any surjection.

We now concentrate on the orbit $[x_1, \cdots, x_{d+1}]$ of the tangent bundle. We have the following relations among the ideals involved:

$$(x_1, \cdots, x_d) = (x_1, \cdots, x_d, 1 - x_{d+1}) \cap (x_1, \cdots, x_d, 1 + x_{d+1}) = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_2,$$

and $\mathfrak{m}_1$, $\mathfrak{m}_2$ are both real maximal ideals. Let $s = \frac{1}{2}(1 - x_{d+1})$. Then,

$$s - s^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - x_{d+1}^2) \in (x_1, \cdots, x_d).$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{m}_1 = (\frac{1}{2}x_1, \cdots, \frac{1}{2}x_d, \frac{1}{2}(1 - x_{d+1}))$ will induce $\omega_{\mathfrak{m}_1}$ and by definition,

$$\delta'((\mathfrak{m}_1, \omega_{\mathfrak{m}_1})) = [x_1, \cdots, x_d, x_{d+1}]$$

This shows that $Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$ is generated by the orbit of the tangent bundle. □

The following corollary is now obvious (see also [F 1, Proposition 5.13]).

Corollary 7.20. *All stably free modules of top rank on S^3 and S^7 are free.*

Proof. The orbit of the tangent bundle in each case is trivial. □

7.4. **Non-orientable case.** We now consider the case when $X(\mathbb{R})$ is non-orientable. Assume that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is compact and connected and $d \geq 2$. By Theorem 7.2, the set-theoretic map

$$\delta : E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) \to Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$$

is surjective. Note that, by [BDM, Theorem 4.21] we have $E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/E_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$ is either trivial or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The same is true for its quotient $Um_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))/SL_{d+1}(\mathbb{R}(X))$.

For isomorphism classes of stably free modules of top rank, we have the following result.

Theorem 7.21. *Assume that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is compact, connected and non-orientable. Assume further that the complex maximal ideals of R are all complete intersections. Then, we have:*

1. *All stably free R-modules of rank d are free, or*
2. *There is a non-free stably free R-module rank d whose class generates the isomorphism classes of stably free R-modules of rank d.*

Proof. By Theorem 7.13 the set-theoretic map $\delta' : E^d(R) \longrightarrow Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$ is surjective. Under the hypotheses of this theorem, $E^d(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} E^d(\mathbb{R}(X))$. By [BDM, Theorem 4.21] we have $E^d(\mathbb{R}(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. As δ' takes the trivial element of $E^d(R)$ to the trivial orbit in $Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$, it turns out that δ' is a group homomorphism and $Um_{d+1}(R)/SL_{d+1}(R)$ is either trivial or is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. □

Remark 7.22. In [BDM] it was proved that if $X(\mathbb{R})$ is a non-orientable surface, then the group $Um_3(R)/SL_3(R)$ is trivial. It will be interesting to know whether $Um_3(R)/E_3(R)$ is trivial or not.

Remark 7.23. Most of the results of this section can be extended to smooth affine varieties over $\mathbf{R}$, where $\mathbf{R}$ is any real closed field. One needs to use the structure theorem for the Euler class group in this case which is available in [BS].

8. The monic inversion principle

Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ such that $\mathbb{Q} \subset R$. The d-th Euler class group $E^d(R[T])$ of $R[T]$ has been defined in [D]. Let $R(T)$ denote the ring obtained from $R[T]$ by inverting all the monic polynomials. As the extension $R[T] \longrightarrow R(T)$ is flat, there is a canonical group homomorphism $\Gamma : E^d(R[T]) \longrightarrow E^d(R(T))$. The following question from [D], on the so called *monic inversion principle*, can be considered as an analogue of the Affine Horrocks theorem.

Question 8.1. Is the canonical map $\Gamma : E^d(R[T]) \longrightarrow E^d(R(T))$ injective?

The question has been answered in the affirmative in [D] itself in the following cases (see [D, Proposition 5.8, Remark 7.7] for details):

(1) $\dim(R) = 2$.
(2) $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{J}(R)) \geq 1$, where $\mathfrak{J}(R)$ denotes the Jacobson radical of R (in particular, for R local or semilocal).
(3) R is an affine domain over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero.

Apart from the answers in [D] mentioned above, there has been no progress at all on this question. Here we settle the question in the affirmative when R is a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over a field k of characteristic zero. We first prove an easy lemma below. For the definitions of $Q'_{2n}(-)$ and $\pi_0(Q'_{2n}(-))$, see Section 2.

Lemma 8.2. *Let A be any commutative Noetherian ring and $n \geq 2$. Then there is a bijection $\mu : \pi_0(Q'_{2n}(A)) \simeq \pi_0(Q'_{2n}(A[T]))$.*

Proof. For $v \in Q'_{2n}(A)$ we define $\mu([v]) = [v]$, sending the orbit $[v]$ to $[v] \in \pi_0(Q'_{2n}(A[T]))$. To see that μ is well defined, let $w \in Q'_{2n}(A)$ be homotopic to v. Then there is $F(X) \in Q'_{2n}(A[X])$ such that $F(0) = v$ and $F(1) = w$. Treat $F(X)$ as an element of $Q'_{2n}(A[T, X])$ and observe that $[v] = [w]$ in $\pi_0(Q'_{2n}(A[T]))$.

We now assume that $v, w \in Q'_{2n}(A)$ be such that $[v] = [w]$ in $\pi_0(Q'_{2n}(A[T]))$. Then there is $F(T, X) \in Q'_{2n}(A[T, X])$ such that $F(T, 0) = v$ and $F(T, 1) = w$. Then we also have $F(0, 0) = v$ and $F(0, 1) = w$. Clearly, $G(X) = F(0, X) \in Q'_{2n}(A[X])$ and is the desired homotopy. This shows that μ is injective.

Let $V(T) \in Q'_{2n}(A[T])$. We show that $V(T)$ is homotopic to $V(0) \in Q'_{2n}(A)$. It is easy to see that $V(TX) \in Q'_{2n}(A[T, X])$. The substitution $X = 0$ gives $V(0)$, whereas the substitution $X = 1$ gives $V(T)$. Therefore, μ is surjective and the proof is complete. $\square$

Corollary 8.3. *Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Then we have a bijection $E^d(R[T]) \simeq \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R[T]))$.*

Proof. It has been proved in [D] that under the assumption on the ring, the group $E^d(R)$ is canonically isomorphic to $E^d(R[T])$. We combine this with $E^d(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R))$ proved before and use the above lemma. □

We now have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E^d(R[T]) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R[T])) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \dfrac{Q'_{2d}(R[T])}{EO_{2d+1}(R[T])} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E^d(R(T)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R(T))) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \dfrac{Q'_{2d}(R(T))}{EO_{2d+1}(R(T))} \end{array}$$

We can thus transfer the question on the Euler class group to a question on the map $Q'_{2d}(R[T])/EO_{2d+1}(R[T]) \to Q'_{2d}(R(T))/EO_{2d+1}(R(T))$. But note that the horizontal bijections are only set-theoretic maps. However, as we will see below, for us it will be enough to prove the following statement: For $v \in Q'_{2d}(R[T])$,

$$v \overset{EO_{2d+1}(R(T))}{\sim} (0, \cdots, 0, 1) \Rightarrow v \overset{EO_{2d+1}(R[T])}{\sim} (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$$

We proceed to prove the above assertion in a more general set-up. We will need the following factorization lemma for the elementary orthogonal group.

Lemma 8.4. [St, Lemma 2.3] *Let A be a ring and $n \geq 2$. Let $f, g \in A$ be such that $fA + gA = A$. If $\sigma \in EO_{2n+1}(A_{fg})$, then there exist $\alpha \in EO_{2n+1}(A_f)$ and $\beta \in EO_{2n+1}(A_g)$ such that $\sigma = \alpha_g \, \beta_f$.*

We now prove the following monic inversion principle which is an analogue of [Ra, Theorem 1.1]. We follow the same line of arguments as in [Ra]. It will be interesting if one can remove the regularity assumption from the version we prove.

Theorem 8.5. *Let A be a regular domain containing a field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Let $n \geq 2$ and $v = (f_1, \cdots, f_n, g_1, \cdots, g_n, h) \in Q'_{2n}(A[T])$. Suppose that there is a monic polynomial $f \in A[T]$ such that $v \equiv (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$ mod $EO_{2n+1}(A[T]_f)$. Then $v \equiv (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$ mod $EO_{2n+1}(A[T])$.*

Proof. Under the assumptions of the theorem, by [MaMi, Theorem 4.2], v is extended from A. More precisely, from [MaMi] we have

$$v \overset{O_{2n+1}(A[T],T)}{\sim} v(0),$$

and therefore, by [St, Theorem 1.3] it follows that

$$v \overset{EO_{2n+1}(A[T])}{\sim} v(0).$$

As $v(0)$ and $v(1)$ are homotopic, applying Theorem 2.10 we have

$$v(0) \overset{EO_{2n+1}(A)}{\sim} v(1),$$

implying that

$$v \overset{EO_{2n+1}(A[T]}{\sim} v(1) \qquad (*)$$

We first treat the special case when $f = T$. In this case, $v_T \sim (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$ implies that $v \sim v(1)$ and $v(1) \sim (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$, and therefore,

$$v \overset{EO_{2n+1}(A[T])}{\sim} (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$$

and we are done.

We now show that one can reduce to the special case above. Assume that f is an arbitrary monic polynomial. By $(*)$ we now have $v = v(1)$ and that $v(1)\sigma = (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$ for some $\sigma \in EO_{2n+1}(A[T]_f)$.

Let $f^* = T^{-\deg f}.f \in A[T^{-1}]$. Then $f^*(T^{-1} = 0) = 1$ and note that in $A[T^{-1}]$, we have $f^*A[T^{-1}] + T^{-1}A[T^{-1}] = A[T^{-1}]$. Further, $A[T^{-1}, T]_{f^*} = A[T, T^{-1}]_f$.

Applying Lemma 8.4 for the comaximal elements f^* and T^{-1} in $A[T^{-1}]$, we have $\sigma_T = (\sigma_1)_{T^{-1}}(\sigma_2)_{f^*}$, where $\sigma_1 \in EO_{2n+1}(A[T^{-1}]_{f^*})$ and $\sigma_2 \in EO_{2n+1}(A[T^{-1}, T])$. Now we treat $v(1)$ as an element in $Q'_{2n}(A[T^{-1}]_{f^*})$. Observe that the vectors $v(1)\sigma_1$ and $(0, \cdots, 0, 1)\sigma_2^{-1}$ coincide over $A[T^{-1}, T]_{f^*}$. We can patch them to obtain $w \in Q'_{2n}(A[T^{-1}])$. Note that $w_{T^{-1}} = (0, \cdots, 0, 1)\sigma_2^{-1}$ in $A[T, T^{-1}]$. In other words,

$$w_{T^{-1}} \overset{EO_{2n+1}(A[T,T^{-1}])}{\sim} (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$$

Now apply the special case treated above to conclude that

$$w \overset{EO_{2n+1}(A[T^{-1}])}{\sim} (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$$

For this final moment, let us write $Y = T^{-1}$. As $f^*(Y = 0) = 1$ and as $w_{f^*} = v(1)\sigma_1$, specializing at $Y = 0$ we obtain

$$w(0) = v(1)\sigma_1(0) \overset{EO_{2n+1}(A)}{\sim} (0, \cdots, 0, 1),$$

showing that $v = v(1) \overset{EO_{2n+1}(A)}{\sim} (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$. □

Corollary 8.6. *Let A be a regular domain containing a field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Let $v \in Q'_{2n}(A[T])$ be such that v is homotopic to $(0, \cdots, 0, 1)$ in $Q'_{2n}(A(T))$. Then v is homotopic to $(0, \cdots, 0, 1)$ in $Q'_{2n}(A[T])$.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.10 we can conclude that $v \overset{EO_{2n+1}(A(T))}{\sim} (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$. We can then find a single monic polynomial $f \in A[T]$ such that

$$v \overset{EO_{2n+1}(A[T]_f)}{\sim} (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$$

Applying the above theorem and Theorem 2.10 again we are done. □

We can now derive the monic inversion principle for the Euler class groups. Recall that $R(T)$ denotes the ring obtained from $R[T]$ by inverting all the monic polynomials.

Theorem 8.7. *Let R be a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic 0. Then the natural map $E^d(R[T]) \longrightarrow E^d(R(T))$ is injective.*

Proof. The canonical map $E^d(R[T]) \longrightarrow E^d(R(T)$ is a group homomorphism. Therefore, it is enough to show that if $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R[T])$ is such that its image $(JR(T), \omega_J \otimes R(T))$ is trivial in $E^d(R(T)$, then $(J, \omega_J) = 0$ in $E^d(R[T])$. Note that the horizontal bijections in the following commutative diagram preserve base points.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^d(R[T]) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R[T])) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E^d(R(T)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \pi_0(Q'_{2d}(R(T))) \end{array}$$

Therefore we are done by Corollary 8.6. □

Remark 8.8. We actually do not need to assume that the characteristic of the base field is zero. In fact, the same arguments as above will prove that the canonical map from $E^n(R[T])$ to $E^n(R(T))$ is injective if R is a regular domain of dimension $d \geq 2$ which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic $\neq 2$, and $3 \leq d \leq 2n-3$. The definition of the n-th Euler class group $E^n(R[T])$, where R is a regular domain of dimension d containing an infinite field, can be found in [DRS 2]. It is a generalization of the definition of $E^d(R[T])$ from [D] but with the extra assumption on regularity. In [D], the condition $\mathbb{Q} \subset R$ was assumed to prove a crucial lemma [D, Lemma 3.8]. If R is a regular domain containing a field k, this condition is not necessary. However, one needs the base field to be infinite.

With the above remark in mind, we present the final and more general form of Theorem 8.7 in simple commutative algebraic terms, as follows:

Theorem 8.9. *Let R be a regular domain of dimension d which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Let n be a positive integer such that either $n = 2 = d$, or $3 \leq d \leq 2n-3$. Let $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal of height n*

such that

$$I = (f_1, \cdots, f_n) + I^2.$$

Assume that there is a monic polynomial $f \in R[T]$ *such that* $I_f = (G_1, \cdots, G_n)$ *with* $G_i - f_i \in I_f^2$ *for* $i = 1, \cdots, n$. *Then, there exist* $g_1, \cdots, g_n \in I$ *such that*

$$I = (g_1, \cdots, g_n), \text{ and } g_i - f_i \in I^2 \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, n$$

References

[AF] Aravind Asok and Jean Fasel, Euler class groups and motivic stable cohomotopy, preprint available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.05723.

[BDM] S. M. Bhatwadekar, Mrinal K. Das and S. Mandal, Projective modules over smooth real affine varieties, *Invent. Math.* **166** (2006), 151-184.

[BK] S. M. Bhatwadekar and M. K. Keshari, A question of Nori: Projective generation of ideals, *K-Theory* **28** (2003), 329-351.

[BRS 1] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Raja Sridharan, Projective generation of curves in polynomial extensions of an affine domain and a question of Nori, *Invent. Math.* **133** (1998), 161-192.

[BRS 2] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Raja Sridharan, Zero cycles and the Euler class groups of smooth real affine varieties, *Invent. Math.* **136** (1999), 287-322.

[BRS 3] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Raja Sridharan, Euler class group of a Noetherian ring, *Compositio Math.* **122** (2000), 183-222.

[BRS 4] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Raja Sridharan, On Euler classes and stably free projective modules, in: Algebra, arithmetic and geometry, Part I, II (Mumbai, 2000), 139–158, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., 16, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 2002.

[BRS 5] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Raja Sridharan, Projective modules over affine algebras, *Applicable Mathematics in the Golden Age*, J. C. Mishra (Editor), Narosa Publishing House, 2003.

[BS] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Sarang Sane, Projective modules over smooth, affine varieties over real closed fields, *J. Algebra* **323** (2010), 15531580.

[D] Mrinal K. Das, The Euler class group of a polynomial algebra, *J. Algebra* **264** (2003), 582-612.

[DK] Mrinal K. Das and Manoj K. Keshari, A question of Nori, Segre classes of ideals and other applications, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **216** (2012), 2193-2203.

[DRS 1] M. K. Das and Raja Sridharan, Good invariants for bad ideals, *J. Algebra* **323** (2010), 3216-3229.

[DRS 2] Mrinal K. Das and Raja Sridharan, Euler class groups and a theorem of Roitman, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **215** (2011), 1340-1347.

[DZ] Mrinal K. Das and Md. Ali Zinna, "Strong" Euler class of a stably free module of odd rank, *J. Algebra* **432** (2015), 185-204.

[EE] D. Eisenbud and E. G. Evans, Generating modules efficiently: Theorems from algebraic K-theory, *J. Algebra*, **27** (1973), 278-305.

[F 1] Jean Fasel, Some remarks on orbit sets of unimodular rows, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **86** (2011), 13-39.

[F 2] Jean Fasel, Mennicke symbols, K-cohomology and a Bass-Kubota theorem, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **367** (2015), 191-208.

[F 3] Jean Fasel, On the number of generators of an ideal in a polynomial ring, *Ann. Math.* **184** (2016), 315-331.

[GRa] Anuradha S. Garge and Ravi A. Rao, A nice group structure on the orbit space of unimodular rows, *K-theory* **38** (2008), 113-133.

[IR] F. Ischebeck and Ravi A. Rao, Ideals and Reality, Projective modules and number of generators of ideals. in: Springer Monographs in Mathematics Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.

[K] M. K. Keshari, Euler Class group of a Noetherian ring, M.Phil. thesis, available at : http://www.math.iitb.ac.in/˜keshari/acad.html

[La] T. Y. Lam, Serre's problem on projective modules. in: Springer Monographs in Mathematics Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[Li] H. Lindel, On the Bass-Quillen conjecture concerning projective modules over polynomial rings, *Invent. Math.* **65** (1981), 319-323.

[Ma] S. Mandal, Homotopy of sections of projective modules, *J. Algebraic Geometry* **1** (1992), 639-646.

[MaMi] S. Mandal and Bibekananda Mishra, The homotopy program in complete intersections, preprint available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.07495.

[OPS] M. Ojanguren, R. Parimala and Ramaiyengar Sridharan, Symplectic bundles over affine surfaces, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **61** (1986), 491-500.

[OP] M. Ojanguren and R. Parimala, Projective modules over real affine algebras, *Math. Ann.* **287** (1990), 181-184.

[Mo] N. Mohan Kumar, Complete intersections, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **17** (1977), 533-538.

[MMR] N.Mohan Kumar, M.P.Murthy and A.Roy, A cancellation theorem for projective modules over finitely generated rings, Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in honor of Masoyoshi Nagata vol.1 (1987), 281-287, Tokyo.

[PSt] V. Petrov and A. Stavrova, Elementary subgroups of isotropic reductive groups, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **20** (2009), 625-644.

[Po] D. Popescu, Polynomial rings and their projective modules, *Nagoya Math. J.* **113** (1989), 121-128.

[Ra] R. A. Rao, Two examples of Bass-Quillen-Suslin conjectures, *Math. Ann.* **279** (1987), 227-238. **93** (1988), 609-618.

[RS] Raja Sridharan, Non-vanishing sections of algebraic vector bundles, *J. Algebra* **176** (1995), 947-958.

[St] A. Stavrova, Homotopy invariance of non-stable K_1-functors, *Journal of K-Theory* **13** (2014), 199-248.

[Su] A. A. Suslin, Reciprocity laws and the stable rank of polynomial rings, *Math.of the USSR-Izvestija*, **15** (1980), 589-624.

[SuVa] A. A. Suslin and N. Vaserstein, Serres problem on projective modules over polynomial rings and Algebraic K-theory, *Math. of the USSR Izvestija* **10** (1976), 937-1001.

[Sw] R. G. Swan, Néron-Popescu desingularization, in : Algebra and Geometry (Taipei, 1995), Lect. Algebra Geom. vol 2, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 135-192.

[vdK 1] Wilberd van der Kallen, A group structure on certain orbit sets of unimodular rows, *J. Algebra* **82** (1983), 363-397.

[vdK 2] Wilberd van der Kallen, A module structure on certain orbit sets of unimodular rows, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **57** (1989), 281-316.

[vdK 3] Wilberd van der Kallen, From Mennicke symbols to Euler class groups, in: Algebra, arithmetic and geometry, Part I, II (Mumbai, 2000), 341-354, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., 16, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 2002.

[vdK 4] Wilberd van der Kallen, Extrapolating an Euler class, *J. Algebra*, **434**, (2015) 6571.

[VP] N. A. Vavilov and V. A. Petrov, On overgroups of EO(n;R), *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **19** (2008), 167-195.

[V] T. Vorst, The general linear group of polynomial rings, *Communications in Algebra*, **9** (1981), 499-509.

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B. T. Road, Kolkata 700108 India
E-mail address: mrinal@isical.ac.in

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B. T. Road, Kolkata 700108 India
E-mail address: tsoumi_r@isical.ac.in

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B. T. Road, Kolkata 700108 India
E-mail address: zinna2012@gmail.com